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Office of Inspector General
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MEMORANDUM FOR JOHN TREVOR NORRIS
ACTING ASSISTANT SECRETARY FOR MANAGEMENT

FROM: James Hodge /s/
Director, Financial Audit

SUBJECT: Audit of Treasury’s Compliance with the PIIA Requirements for Fiscal Year 2020

We hereby transmit the attached subject report. Under a contract monitored by our office, RMA Associates (RMA), a certified independent public accounting firm, performed an audit of the Department of the Treasury’s (Treasury) compliance with Payment Integrity Information Act of 2019 (PIIA) for fiscal year (FY) 2020. As part of the audit, RMA also assessed Treasury’s compliance with additional improper payment reporting requirements set forth in Executive Order 13520, Reducing Improper Payments and Eliminating Waste in Federal Programs, the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular No. A-123, Appendix C, “Requirements for Payment Integrity Improvement” and OMB Circular No. A-136, “Financial Reporting Requirements.”

RMA considered the results of Treasury Inspector General for Tax Administration’s audit of the Internal Revenue Service’s (IRS) compliance with the improper payment reporting requirements in forming its conclusions on Treasury’s compliance with PIIA, and the results were incorporated in the audit report.

In its audit report, RMA noted that Treasury was not in compliance with PIIA for FY 2020 due to IRS not reporting an improper payment rate of less than 10 percent for three of its programs identified as susceptible to significant improper payments: (1) Earned Income Tax Credit; (2) American Opportunity Tax Credit; and (3) Additional Child Tax Credit. RMA also reported that Treasury complied with five of the six PIIA requirements. Treasury: 1) published an Agency Financial Report; 2) conducted a risk assessment; 3) published an improper payment estimate; 4) published corrective action plans; and 5) published and is meeting reduction targets.

In addition, RMA noted that the Bureau of the Fiscal Service (Fiscal Service) was unable to provide sufficient documentation to support the quantitative risk
assessment performed for the Treasury fund, Fiscal Service – Interest on the Public Debt. RMA is recommending Treasury’s Risk and Control Group (RCG) work with Fiscal Service management to revise Fiscal Service’s controls over the review and approval process to ensure that the quantitative risk assessment includes sufficient supporting documentation to substantiate Fiscal Service’s improper payment amount derived from its non-statistical sampling methodology.

RMA also identified Fiscal Service and US Mint management’s responses to some risk assessment questions in several fund group qualitative risk assessments were inaccurate in determining the payment type’s susceptibility to significant improper payments. RMA recommends Treasury’s RCG work with Fiscal Service and US Mint management to provide evidence of sufficient review and approval on the program-specific risk assessments indicating management responses to risk assessment questions are complete and accurate.

Our contract required that the audit be performed in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards. In connection with the contract, we reviewed RMA’s report and related documentation and inquired of its representatives. Our review, as differentiated from an audit performed in accordance with U.S. generally accepted government auditing standards, was not intended to enable us to conclude on Treasury’s overall compliance with requirements contained in PIIA for FY 2020. RMA is responsible for the attached auditors’ report dated May 27, 2021, and the conclusions expressed therein. However, our review disclosed no instances where RMA did not comply, in all material respects, with U.S. generally accepted government auditing standards.

If you wish to discuss this report, please contact me at (202) 927-0009, or a member of your staff may contact Catherine Yi, Manager, Financial Audit, at (202) 927-5591.

Attachment
United States Department of the Treasury
Audit of Treasury’s Compliance with
PIIA Requirements for Fiscal Year 2020

Final Audit Report
May 27, 2021

Mr. James Hodge
Director, Financial Audit
Department of the Treasury
Office of Inspector General

Dear Mr. Hodge,

RMA Associates, LLC (RMA) is pleased to present our final report on the Department of the Treasury’s compliance with Payment Integrity Information Act of 2019 (PIIA) requirements for Fiscal Year 2020.

Thank you for the opportunity to serve your organization and the assistance provided by your staff and that of Treasury. We will be happy to answer any questions you may have concerning the report.

Very respectfully,

Reza Mahbod, CPA, CISA, CGFM, CICA, CGMA, CDFM, CFE, CDPSE
President
RMA Associates, LLC
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### Abbreviations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ACTC</td>
<td>Additional Child Tax Credit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AFR</td>
<td>Agency Financial Report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AOTC</td>
<td>American Opportunity Tax Credit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APTC</td>
<td>Advanced Premium Tax Credit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EITC</td>
<td>Earned Income Tax Credit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EO</td>
<td>Executive Order</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiscal Service</td>
<td>Bureau of the Fiscal Service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FSD 0550</td>
<td>Fiscal Service – Interest on the Public Debt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HHS</td>
<td>Department of Health and Human Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPERA</td>
<td>Improper Payments Elimination and Recovery Act of 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPERIA</td>
<td>Improper Payments Elimination and Recovery Improvement Act of 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPIA</td>
<td>Improper Payments Information Act of 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRS</td>
<td>Internal Revenue Service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEC</td>
<td>Nonemployee Compensation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OIG</td>
<td>Office of Inspector General</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OMB</td>
<td>Office of Management and Budget</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PATH</td>
<td>Protecting Americans From Tax Hikes Act of 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIIA</td>
<td>Payment Integrity Information Act of 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTC</td>
<td>Premium Tax Credit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCG</td>
<td>Risk and Control Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTC</td>
<td>Refundable Tax Credit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSN</td>
<td>Social Security Number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIGTA</td>
<td>Treasury Inspector General for Tax Administration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treasury</td>
<td>Department of the Treasury</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Audit Report

May 27, 2021

Acting Inspector General
Department of the Treasury
Washington, D.C.

RMA Associates, LLC (RMA) conducted a performance audit of the Department of the Treasury’s (Treasury) compliance with improper payment reporting requirements for Fiscal Year (FY) 2020.

The objective of our audit was to assess and report on Treasury’s overall compliance with requirements contained in the Payment Integrity Information Act of 2019 (PIIA),1 enacted to establish requirements for federal agencies to cut down on improper payments made by the federal government. PIIA replaced the Fraud Reduction and Data Analytics Act of 2015,2 Improper Payments Elimination and Recovery Improvement Act of 2012 (IPERIA),3 the Improper Payments Elimination and Recovery Act of 2010 (IPERA),4 and Improper Payments Information Act of 2002 (IPIA).5 As part of our audit, we also assessed Treasury’s compliance with additional improper payment reporting requirements set forth in Executive Order (EO) 13520, Reducing Improper Payments and Eliminating Waste in Federal Programs, the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular No. A-123, Appendix C, “Requirements for Payment Integrity Improvement” and OMB Circular No. A-136, “Financial Reporting Requirements.” We conducted our fieldwork from January 2021 through May 2021 in Arlington, VA. Appendix 1 contains a more detailed description of our objective, scope, and methodology.

This report also summarizes the results of the Treasury Inspector General for Tax Administration’s (TIGTA) assessment of the Internal Revenue Service’s (IRS) compliance with improper payment reporting requirements for FY 2020. This summarization includes IRS’s management response to TIGTA’s audit findings. TIGTA issued its report on May 10, 2021, which is included in its entirety as Appendix 2 of this report.

We conducted this performance audit in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives.

As a result of our audit, we determined Treasury was not in compliance with PIIA requirements for FY 2020. IRS did not report an improper payment rate of less than 10 percent for three of its programs identified as susceptible to significant improper payments: (1) Earned Income Tax Credit

(EITC); (2) American Opportunity Tax Credit (AOTC); and (3) Additional Child Tax Credit (ACTC). We also noted two findings related to 1) insufficient documentation to support Treasury fund Fiscal Service – Interest on the Public Debt (FSD 0550) quantitative risk assessment; and 2) insufficient review of risk assessments.

Additional information on the results of our audit is included in the accompanying report.

A distribution list for this report is provided as Appendix 5.

Very respectfully,

RMA Associates, LLC
Results in Brief

Treasury was not in compliance with PIIA for FY 2020. We determined that Treasury complied with five of the six requirements. Treasury: 1) published an Agency Financial Report (AFR); 2) conducted a risk assessment; 3) published an improper payment estimate; 4) published corrective action plans; and 5) published and is meeting reduction targets. We determined that Treasury did not comply with the sixth requirement because IRS did not report an improper payment rate of less than 10 percent for its programs identified as susceptible to significant improper payments as follows:

- Earned Income Tax Credit (EITC) – IRS estimates 24 percent ($16.0 billion) of the total EITC payments of $68.2 billion in FY 2020 were improper.
- Additional Child Tax Credit (ACTC) – IRS estimates 12 percent ($4.5 billion) of the total ACTC payments of $39.1 billion in FY 2020 were improper.
- American Opportunity Tax Credit (AOTC) – IRS estimates 26 percent ($2.3 billion) of the total AOTC payments of $8.9 billion in FY 2020 were improper.

For FY 2020, IRS correctly rated the EITC, ACTC, and AOTC as being susceptible to significant improper payments. This is the tenth consecutive year that Treasury is noncompliant with improper payment reporting requirements for not reporting a gross improper payment rate of less than 10 percent for one or more of its reported programs. We determined that Treasury was in compliance with all other PIIA and OMB improper payment reporting requirements.

We also determined that Treasury complied with the requirement to publish its AFR and any accompanying material required by OMB on its website for FY 2020. In addition, we reviewed Treasury’s risk assessment process and recapture audit programs to determine the accuracy and completeness of Treasury improper payment reporting. We noted that the Treasury Bureau of the Fiscal Service (Fiscal Service) was unable to provide sufficient documentation to support the quantitative risk assessment performed for Treasury fund FSD 0550. We also identified Fiscal Service and US Mint management’s responses to some risk assessment questions in several fund group qualitative risk assessments were inaccurate.

As part of our reporting process, we provided a draft of this report to Treasury management for review and comment. In a written response, management noted that it has long held that refundable tax credits (RTC) are not “payments” as intended under the improper payments legislation, as the tax system is a collection system rather than a payment system. Management also noted that it will continue to collaborate with the IRS and OMB to identify a more effective way to report on estimated payment errors associated with the RTC programs in the Department’s AFR as part of a broader discussion on the tax gap and tax burden.

Management’s response to our report is provided in Appendix 4.

We also summarized TIGTA’s audit results in this report. TIGTA reported that the IRS has not reduced overall improper payment rates for the EITC, ACTC, and AOTC programs to less than 10 percent; however, it has been approved for an exception to the annual reduction target reporting
requirement. The IRS included a section in the AFR that describes five barriers to reducing refundable tax credit improper payments: 1) complexity and lack of data to verify statutory eligibility requirements, 2) lack of correctable error authority, 3) high turnover of eligible taxpayers, 4) unscrupulous and/or incompetent tax return preparers, and 5) fraud.

Additionally, IRS estimates that 27 percent ($540.9 million) of the total Net Premium Tax Credit (PTC) payments in FY 2019 were improper and Treasury is required by OMB to begin reporting the estimated total Net PTC improper payments in its FY 2020 AFR. However, Treasury notified OMB that it will delay reporting this information due to significant demands placed upon Treasury, including IRS, in connection with the COVID-19 crisis. The IRS continues to collaborate with the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) and Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services to develop a joint methodology for assessing improper payment risk for PTC payments and accurately define the improper payment rate for the PTC that includes both the Advanced PTC (APTC) and the Net PTC.

TIGTA also noted that IRS reported two unimplemented Government Accountability Office (GAO) recommendations to Congress, as required by the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2020, which directed IRS to either implement open and unimplemented recommendations from TIGTA and GAO that address improper payments or report on impediments to implementation of each open recommendation.

In addition, TIGTA continues to identify that IRS does not use the tools provided by Congress to the maximum extent possible to address erroneous credit payments. The ineffective use of the various authorities provided in the Internal Revenue Code is a contributing factor in the high rate of improper payments.

The Protecting Americans From Tax Hikes (PATH) Act of 2015 gave IRS more time to verify improper refundable credit claims before refunds are issued. However, TIGTA noted that it did not provide the IRS with expanded correctable error authority to address refundable tax credit claims with identified income discrepancies. IRS has repeatedly requested additional authority that would allow it to correct tax returns claiming a refundable tax credit with an income discrepancy. TIGTA also reported that IRS is reintroducing Form 1099-NEC, Nonemployee Compensation, for reporting nonemployee compensation and can use the data on these forms while determining eligibility for refundable tax credits. However, IRS was also not given the authority to adjust claims based on this form at the time of processing and the majority of refundable tax credit claims with income discrepancies will not be addressed because of limited IRS resources.

Furthermore, TIGTA noted that IRS has implemented a prior TIGTA recommendation and modified its procedures to address eligibility for the ACTC when a tax return claiming the EITC is selected for review. TIGTA’s current review identified the IRS selected for review 1,819 Tax Year 2019 tax returns filed by individuals with a nonwork social security number (SSN) who claimed the EITC or ACTC. IRS management expects to protect $6.3 million for Tax Year 2019 tax returns by resolving these cases.

---

6 Pub. L. No. 116-93, 133 Stat. 2317
TIGTA’s report and IRS’s management response are provided in Appendix 2.

Background

Improper Payments Compliance and Reporting Requirements

Under IPIA, Federal agencies were required to review and identify programs and activities susceptible to improper payments on an annual basis and report estimates of improper payments to Congress along with actions to reduce estimated improper payments that exceeded $10 million. In 2009, Executive Order (EO) 13520, Reducing Improper Payments and Eliminating Waste in Federal Programs, required Federal agencies to intensify their efforts to eliminate payment error, waste, fraud, and abuse in major Federal programs while continuing to ensure that these programs serve and provide access to their intended beneficiaries. It increased Federal agencies’ accountability and required that Federal agencies provide their agency Inspector General with detailed information on efforts to identify and reduce the number of improper payments in Federal programs with the highest dollar value of improper payments.

Following EO 13520, on July 22, 2010, the President signed IPERA into law. IPERA amended IPIA, strengthening agencies’ program reviews and reporting requirements. IPERA expanded the types of payments to be reviewed and established the requirement for agencies to conduct recovery audits if cost-effective. IPERA also required Inspectors General to report on their respective agencies’ compliance with IPERA each fiscal year. IPERIA further expanded agency improper payment requirements to foster greater agency accountability. IPERIA requires the OMB Director to identify a list of high priority Federal programs for greater levels of oversight and review. For those high priority programs, IPERIA requires OMB to coordinate with agencies to establish annual targets and semi-annual or quarterly actions for reducing improper payments. Agencies are required to submit an annual report to the Inspector General on actions (1) taken or planned to recover improper payments and (2) intended to prevent future improper payments. The report is also required to be available to the public on a central website.

In accordance with IPERIA and EO 13520, Offices of Inspector General (OIG) are required to review and report on their respective agencies’ OMB-designated high priority programs, if any. Specifically, OIGs are to review management’s assessment of the level of risk, the quality of the improper payment estimates and methodology, and the oversight and financial controls in place to identify and prevent improper payments. Recommendations, if any, are to be provided for modifying agency plans related to its high priority programs to include improvements for determining and estimating improper payments.

For the fiscal year 2020 reporting, OMB determined that EITC, AOTC, and ACTC are high-priority programs.

In Memorandum 15-02 dated October 20, 2014, OMB issued revisions to OMB Circular No. A-123, Appendix C, to provide agencies guidance on implementing all improper payment compliance and reporting requirements. In June 2018, OMB further revised the OMB guidance
(OMB M-18-20) in an effort to transform the improper payment compliance framework to create a more unified, comprehensive, and less burdensome set of requirements. According to OMB M-18-20, all agencies should institute a systematic method of reviewing all programs once every three years to determine the programs’ improper payment risk. Additionally, agencies are required to take into account the following seven risk factors that are likely to contribute to a susceptibility of significant improper payments:

- Whether the program or activity reviewed is new to the agency;
- The complexity of the program or activity reviewed, particularly with respect to determining correct payment amounts;
- The volume of payments made annually;
- Whether payment eligibility decisions are made outside of the agency;
- Recent major changes in program funding, authorities, practices, or procedures;
- The level, experience, and quality of training for personnel responsible for making program eligibility determination or certifying that payments are accurate; and
- Significant deficiencies in the audit reports of the agency or other relevant management findings that might hinder accurate payment certification.

On March 2, 2020, PIIA repealed IPERIA, IPERA, and IPIA, but set forth similar improper payment reporting requirements. Under PIIA, agencies must conduct a program-specific risk assessment for each program or activity identified by the agency, provide the methodology for identifying and measuring improper payments, and report on actions the agency intends to take to prevent future improper payments.

The OMB once again updated Circular A-123 Appendix C with guidance directly related to the Inspectors General review and issued this guidance on March 5, 2021. The guidance is effective starting in FY 2021.

**Treasury’s Improper Payment Risk Assessment**

Treasury issued *Fiscal Year 2020 Treasury Implementation Guide for OMB Circular A-123 Appendix C: Requirements for Payment Integrity Improvement* (Treasury’s PIIA Guidance) to all components. Treasury also provided all components two tools—the Qualitative Risk Assessment Questionnaire and Quantitative Risk Assessment Summary—to assess the level of risk for each payment type, such as Federal employee payments including payroll, aid or relief programs, entitlements or benefits, contract payments, claims and/or vouchers, loan guarantees, grants, and program recipient. The Qualitative Risk Assessment Questionnaire, which consists of twenty-five questions, must be applied to each payment type within each Fund Group with total non-federal disbursements of less than $5 billion. Using component responses, the Qualitative Risk Assessment Questionnaire computes a risk rating for each payment type which determines the overall risk assessment rating of susceptible or not susceptible to improper payments. Those Fund Groups with total non-federal disbursements greater than $5 billion are required to perform a quantitative risk assessment. The quantitative risk assessment consists of a review of a sample of non-

---

8 A Fund Group is a set of activities recognized as a program within the component.
disbursements to calculate the improper payment amount and rate. The improper payment amount and rate are compared to OMB’s threshold to determine susceptibility to significant improper payments.

After each component completes and reviews its risk assessment, the results are provided to Treasury’s Risk and Control Group (RCG). Components of those Fund Groups identified as susceptible to significant improper payments or high-priority programs are required to summarize their internal control over the improper payment processes using a narrative describing the internal controls instituted and/or strengthened to prevent further improper payments. For any program identified as susceptible to significant improper payments, the responsible component is also required to provide the following information for inclusion in Treasury’s AFR:

- estimates of improper payments,
- root causes of improper payments,
- corrective action plan to reduce improper payments,
- a summary of internal controls over the improper payment process, and
- reduction targets and progress made.

For FY 2020, Treasury identified the EITC, AOTC, ACTC, and PTC as programs susceptible to significant improper payments.

**Payment Recapture Audits**

PIIA requires agencies to conduct recovery audits (also referred to as payment recapture audits) to prevent, detect, and recover overpayments, if conducting such audits would be cost-effective, for each program and activity that expends $1 million or more annually. A payment recapture audit is a review and analysis of an agency’s or program’s accounting and financial records, and other pertinent information supporting its payments that is specifically designed to identify overpayments.

Treasury’s PIIA Guidance requires each component to complete, certify, and submit a worksheet providing a consistent reporting format that includes information on the results of the component’s payment recapture audits and treatment of recaptured improper payments. The worksheets are submitted to the RCG for review and the data is consolidated and reported on the PaymentAccuracy.gov website.

**Treasury’s Improper Payment Reporting**

On December 30, 2020, Treasury published its FY 2020 AFR and subsequently posted it to its website. Included in that report was the required improper payments information with the following accompanying information:

- improper payment estimates for the EITC, ACTC, and AOTC programs;
- summary discussing the root causes for the EITC, ACTC, and AOTC overclaims;
- IRS’s strategy of identifying and reducing RTC overclaims in FY 2020;
• description of the corrective action plans for the RTCs; and
• description of barriers, which limit the IRS’s corrective actions in reducing improper payments in the RTC program.

Audit Results

According to OMB Circular A-123, an agency is required to meet six specific requirements to be compliant with PIIA. The six requirements are (1) publishing an AFR; (2) conducting a risk assessment; (3) publishing an improper payment estimate; (4) publishing corrective action plans; (5) publishing and meeting reduction targets; and (6) reporting a gross improper payment rate of less than 10 percent. We found that Treasury did not comply with PIIA for FY 2020 because the IRS did not report an overall improper payment rate of less than 10 percent for the EITC, ACTC, and AOTC programs. We discuss this further in our Finding 1 below. Treasury did comply with the other PIIA requirements as outlined in Table 1. In addition, Appendix 3 provides a summary of Treasury programs’ compliance with PIIA reporting criteria.

Table 1. Treasury’s Compliance with PIIA Requirements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Improper Payment Criteria</th>
<th>Compliance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Publish an AFR</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conduct risk assessment</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Publish an improper payment estimate</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Publish corrective action plans</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Publish and meet reduction targets⁹</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Report a gross improper payment rate of less than 10 percent</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


We also reviewed Treasury’s risk assessment process and recapture audit programs to determine the accuracy and completeness of Treasury improper payments reporting. We noted that Treasury (1) included the required improper payment disclosures in the AFR; (2) performed risk assessments using required criteria defined by OMB Circular A-123; and (3) conformed to OMB guidance for payment recapture audits. In addition, we determined that Treasury complied with the requirement to post required improper payment information to the PaymentAccuracy.gov website.

Finding 1: Treasury Was Not in Compliance with PIIA for FY 2020

We determined that Treasury was not in compliance with PIIA for FY 2020 due to the IRS not reporting an overall improper payment rate of less than 10 percent for the EITC, ACTC, and AOTC. Specifically, IRS reported an improper payment rate of 24 percent (or $16.0 billion), 12 percent (or $4.5 billion), and 26 percent (or $2.3 billion) for EITC, ACTC, and AOTC, respectively. PIIA requires a gross improper payment rate of less than 10 percent for each program.

⁹ The IRS is required to submit quarterly scorecards to show the progress made in lieu of reduction targets. In addition, if the reduction target is a constant, a footnote should clearly explain the complexities surrounding the program.
and activity for which an improper payment estimate was obtained and published in the AFR. This is the 10th consecutive year that Treasury is noncompliant with improper payment reporting requirements for not reporting a gross improper payment rate of less than 10 percent for one or more of its reported programs.

TIGTA continues to identify that IRS does not use the tools provided by Congress to the maximum extent possible to address erroneous EITC, ACTC, and AOTC payments. The tools provided in the Internal Revenue Code include the authority to assess the erroneous refund penalty and require taxpayers to recertify that they meet refundable tax credit eligibility requirements, and the ability to apply 2-year or 10-year bans on taxpayers who disregard credit eligibility rules.

The PATH Act of 2015 gave IRS more time to verify improper refundable credit claims before refunds are issued. However, TIGTA noted that it did not provide the IRS with expanded correctable error authority to address refundable tax credit claims with identified income discrepancies. According to TIGTA, without this authority, IRS must still audit each tax return to prevent or recover the unsupported refundable tax credits. IRS continues to request additional authority that would allow it to correct tax returns claiming a refundable credit with an income discrepancy.

TIGTA reported that the IRS is reintroducing Form 1099-NEC, Nonemployee Compensation, for reporting nonemployee compensation and can use the data on these forms while determining eligibility for refundable tax credits. However, the IRS was also not given the authority to adjust claims based on this form at the time of processing. TIGTA also noted that limited resources result in the majority of refundable credit claims with income discrepancies not being addressed. TIGTA made no new recommendations to this finding.

As part of our reporting process, we provided a draft of this report to Treasury management for review and comment. In a written response, management noted that it has long held that RTCs are not “payments” as intended under the improper payments legislation, as the tax system is a collection system rather than a payment system. Management noted that while a payment system is generally designed to implement internal controls that provide for appropriate verification and validation prior to payments being made, the statutory structure and design for administering RTCs prevent the IRS from verifying or validating such amounts prior to making the refund payment. Management also noted that RTC overclaims are not the result of internal control weaknesses that it can remedy internally but are, in fact, the result of factors beyond its control under current law and existing authority. Additionally, management noted that it will continue to collaborate with the IRS and OMB to identify a more effective way to report on estimated payment errors associated with the RTC programs in the Department’s AFR as part of a broader discussion on the tax gap and tax burden.

**Finding 2: Insufficient Documentation to Support Treasury Fund FSD 0550 Quantitative Risk Assessment**

RMA noted the Fiscal Service was unable to provide sufficient documentation to support the quantitative risk assessment performed for Treasury fund FSD 0550. The FY19 total non-federal
disbursement amount for this fund was $402.0 billion. Fiscal Service used the total Retail Securities population for its non-statistical sampling methodology for the quantitative risk assessment, which resulted in a total non-federal disbursement sample of $34.3 billion. Subsequently, Fiscal Service identified a total improper payment amount of $2.3 million identified from the sample by taking the total amounts written off for retail securities.

Although the quantitative risk assessment was reviewed and approved, Fiscal Service was unable to provide sufficient documentation to support 1) the breakdown of the total non-federal disbursement amount that will clearly illustrate the total non-federal disbursement sample and 2) the breakdown of the total non-federal disbursement sample that will clearly illustrate the total improper payment amount identified from the sample. As a result, RMA was unable to re-perform the non-statistical sampling methodology used for the quantitative risk assessment.

In accordance with Treasury’s PIIA Guidance, Section III, Page 32, “All deliverables and supporting documentation, including risk assessment, statistical methodology, payment recapture worksheets, and any other supporting material necessary to audit and/or re-perform the work, must be maintained on-site by Components for a period of no less than seven years following the year of assessment. Work performed is subject to review by the ODCFO and the Department’s auditors. Components must maintain their documentation at a level that can withstand management and auditor scrutiny.”

OMB Circular A-123 Appendix C, Part IV.B.2, Page 55 also states, “For example, when an agency conducts an improper payment risk assessment, the agency should ensure that it has considered appropriate risk factors and the agency should ensure that the result of the assessment is reasonably supported whether the program or activity is or is not susceptible to significant improper payments.”

The Fiscal Service does not have adequate controls over its review and approval process to ensure sufficient documentation supporting the quantitative risk assessment to enable re-performance of its non-statistical sampling methodology based on source disbursement populations.

The inability to provide sufficient documentation to support the non-statistical sampling methodology increases the likelihood of risk assessments not being performed in accordance with Treasury’s PIIA Guidance and OMB Circular A-123 Appendix C.

Recommendation

RMA recommends Treasury’s RCG work with Fiscal Service management to revise its controls over the review and approval process to verify the quantitative risk assessment includes sufficient supporting documentation to substantiate Fiscal Service’s improper payment amount derived from its non-statistical sampling methodology.
Management’s Response

Treasury management concurs with this recommendation and will work with Fiscal Service to enhance the controls over the review and approval process for verifying that the quantitative risk assessment includes sufficient supporting documentation. In addition, Treasury management will host a roundtable for all bureaus and offices in which it will emphasize the requirements to maintain adequate supporting documentation and walk through the risk assessment and review examples of sufficient supporting documentation for the quantitative risk assessment.

Finding 3: Insufficient Review of Risk Assessments

RMA identified Fiscal Service and US Mint management’s responses to some risk assessment questions in several fund group qualitative risk assessments were inaccurate in determining the payment type’s susceptibility to significant improper payments. Specifically:

- Four instances where Fiscal Service and US Mint management’s responses were indicated as not susceptible but should have been susceptible:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fund Group</th>
<th>Payment Type</th>
<th>Risk Assessment Question No.</th>
<th>Explanation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fiscal Service 0520</td>
<td>Claims &amp; Vouchers</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>The risk assessment question states, “Is formal training required for program management and staff that are responsible for making program eligibility determinations?” If no formal training is required, the payment type is susceptible to improper payments. If formal training is required, the payment type is not susceptible. Fiscal Service selected not susceptible. However, the justification provided states that formal training is not required. Therefore, Fiscal Service should have indicated a susceptible response.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiscal Service 0520</td>
<td>Payroll</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>The risk assessment question states, “What percentage of the total number of transactions in this payment type that exceed $5,000?” If greater than 10%, the payment type is susceptible to improper payments. If less than 10%, the payment type is not susceptible. Fiscal Service selected not susceptible. However, the justification provided states the number of transactions that exceed $5,000 is 17%. Therefore, Fiscal Service should have indicated a susceptible response.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiscal Service 5445</td>
<td>Claims &amp; Vouchers</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>The risk assessment question states, “Is formal training required for program management and staff that are responsible for making program eligibility determinations?” If no formal training is required, the payment type is susceptible to improper payments. If formal training is required, the payment type is not susceptible. Fiscal Service selected not susceptible. However, the justification provided states that formal training is not required. Therefore, Fiscal Service should have indicated a susceptible response.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Fund Group | Payment Type | Risk Assessment Question No. | Explanation
--- | --- | --- | ---
US Mint 4159 | Payroll | 9 | The risk assessment question states, “What percentage of the total number of transactions in this payment type that exceed $5,000?” If greater than 10%, the payment type is susceptible to improper payments. If less than 10%, the payment type is not susceptible. US Mint selected not susceptible. However, the justification provided states the number of transactions that exceed $5,000 is 25%. Therefore, US Mint should have indicated a susceptible response.

- Twelve instances where Fiscal Service management’s responses were indicated as not applicable but should have been susceptible:

| Fund Group | Payment Type | Risk Assessment Question No. | Explanation |
--- | --- | --- | ---
Fiscal Service Managed Accounts (Fund groups 1736, 1771, 1817, 1851, 1860, 4446, and 5585) | Other | 19 | The risk assessment question states, “Is formal training required for program management and staff that are responsible for making program eligibility determinations?” If no formal training is required, the payment type is susceptible to improper payments. If formal training is required, the payment type is not susceptible. Fiscal Service selected not applicable. However, the justification provided states that specialized training is not required. Therefore, Fiscal Service should have indicated a susceptible response.
Fiscal Service Managed Accounts (Fund groups 1740, 1741, 1742, 1743, and 1807) | Claims and/or Vouchers | 19 | The risk assessment question states, “Is formal training required for program management and staff that are responsible for making program eligibility determinations?” If no formal training is required, the payment type is susceptible to improper payments. If formal training is required, the payment type is not susceptible. Fiscal Service selected not applicable. However, the justification provided states that formal training is not required. Therefore, Fiscal Service should have indicated a susceptible response.

- One instance where Fiscal Service management’s response was indicated as susceptible but should have been not susceptible:

| Fund Group | Payment Type | Risk Assessment Question No. | Explanation |
--- | --- | --- | ---
Fiscal Service 1802 | Contract Payments & Invoices | 12 | The risk assessment question states, “Does the program have sub-recipients?” If yes, the payment type is susceptible to improper payments. If no, the payment type is not susceptible. Fiscal Service selected susceptible. However, the justification provided states the program does not have sub-recipients. Therefore,
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fund Group</th>
<th>Payment Type</th>
<th>Risk Assessment Question No.</th>
<th>Explanation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Fiscal Service should have indicated a not susceptible response.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RMA noted the inaccurate responses to the risk assessment questions did not affect the overall risk assessment rating for any of the fund groups identified.

Treasury’s PIIA Guidance, Section III, Page 32 states, “Work performed is subject to review by the ODCFO and the Department’s auditors. Components must maintain their documentation at a level that can withstand management and auditor scrutiny. As such, Components should ensure all deliverables are reviewed for accuracy and completeness prior to submission to ODCFO.”

Fiscal Service and US Mint did not sufficiently review their program-specific risk assessments for accuracy and completeness and their internal controls over the review and approval of their respective risk assessments are not operating effectively.

The lack of sufficient reviews can lead to an inaccurate assessment of a program’s susceptibility to improper payments, increasing the likelihood of improper payments occurring in the future.

**Recommendation**

RMA recommends Treasury’s RCG work with Fiscal Service and US Mint management to provide evidence of sufficient review and approval on the program-specific risk assessments indicating management responses to risk assessment questions are complete and accurate.

**Management’s Response**

Treasury management concurs with this recommendation and will work with Fiscal Service and US Mint to ensure they include an additional review of their risk assessments after they are consolidated. Treasury management will host a roundtable for all bureaus and offices in which it will emphasize the need to thoroughly review the program risk assessments so that assessment questions are complete and accurate. Treasury has also updated the Department-wide PIIA guidance to emphasize that the deliverables must be signed by the Chief Financial Officer (CFO) or equivalent, or a senior management official, to certify the review and accuracy of the deliverable. Treasury has also revised all deliverable templates to ensure they have proper signature lines and a statement certifying the accuracy of the deliverable.

Management’s response to our report is provided in Appendix 4.
Treasury Inspector General for Tax Administration Audit Results

The following are excerpts from TIGTA’s FY 2020 PIIA audit report. TIGTA did not make any recommendations in their report.

Finding 1: Assessment of FY 2020 Compliance with Improper Payment Reporting Requirements

TIGTA continues to report that IRS has not reduced the overall improper payment rate to less than 10 percent for the EITC, AOTC, and ACTC programs. However, it has been approved for an exception to the annual reduction target reporting requirement. As an alternative, OMB advised that a reduction target may remain constant given the complexities of the program, as long as the complexities are clearly explained in a footnote. The IRS included a section in the AFR that describes five barriers to reducing refundable tax credit improper payments, namely 1) complexity and lack of data to verify statutory eligibility requirements, 2) lack of correctable error authority, 3) high turnover of eligible taxpayers, 4) unscrupulous and/or incompetent tax return preparers, and 5) fraud.


TIGTA reported that IRS estimates 27.4 percent (or $540.9 million) of the total Net PTC payments in FY 2019 were improper and the Treasury Department is required to begin reporting the estimated total Net PTC improper payments in its FY 2020 AFR. However, Treasury notified OMB that it will delay reporting this information due to significant demands placed upon Treasury, including IRS, in connection with the COVID-19 crisis.

The IRS continues to collaborate with HHS and Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services to develop a joint methodology for assessing improper payment risk for PTC payments and accurately define the improper payment rate for the PTC that includes both the APTC and the Net PTC. The IRS stated that reporting a rate in the FY 2020 AFR using a separate estimation model without proper coordination with HHS and Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services would be a wasted effort and result in reporting based on a methodology inconsistent with future reporting.

IRS management stated HHS and Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services do not plan to report their portion of improper payment rates for the PTC until FY 2022. TIGTA made no new recommendations to this finding.

Finding 3: The IRS Reported the Status of All Unimplemented Recommendations to Congress as Required

TIGTA reported that on January 5, 2021, the IRS reported two unimplemented GAO recommendations to Congress, as required. Congress enacted the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2020, which directed IRS to make the elimination of improper payments an utmost priority
and to implement, within 270 days of enactment of the Act, all open and unimplemented recommendations from TIGTA and the GAO that address improper payments or report on impediments to implementation of each open recommendation.

Finding 4: Authorities Provided by the Internal Revenue Code Are Not Effectively Used to Address Erroneous Refundable Tax Credit Payments

TIGTA reported\(^\text{10}\) on the results of its audit to evaluate IRS’s use of available tools to deter taxpayers from repeatedly claiming erroneous or fraudulent refundable tax credits in February 2020. TIGTA identified that IRS does not use the tools provided by Congress to the maximum extent possible to address erroneous credit payments. The ineffective use of the various authorities provided in the Internal Revenue Code is a contributing factor in the high rate of improper payments. TIGTA made eight recommendations in its February 2020 report. IRS only agreed or partially agreed with five of the eight recommendations. TIGTA made no new recommendations to this finding.

Finding 5: Increased Correctable Error Authority Could Reduce the Number of Potentially Erroneous Refundable Tax Credit Payments

TIGTA reported that in order to give IRS the ability to identify improper refundable tax credit claims based on incorrect income reporting, Congress included provisions in the PATH Act of 2015. However, the PATH Act does not provide IRS with expanded correctable error authority to address refundable tax credit claims with identified income discrepancies. Without this authority, IRS must still audit each tax return to prevent or recover the unsupported refundable tax credits. As a result of limited resources, the majority of refundable tax credit claims with income discrepancies are not addressed. This, in turn, contributes to IRS’s inability to make any significant reduction in improper refundable tax credit payments via the use of earlier reporting of income information. IRS continues to request additional authority that would allow it to correct tax returns claiming a refundable tax credit with an income discrepancy.

TIGTA reported that Section 211 (c)(1) of the Taxpayer Certainty and Disaster Tax Relief Act of 2020\(^\text{11}\) authorizes IRS’s use of math error authority for tax returns on which a taxpayer elects to use prior year earned income to calculate the EITC or ACTC but the prior year earned income reported by the taxpayer is incorrect.

TIGTA also reported that the IRS is reintroducing Form 1099-NEC, Nonemployee Compensation, for reporting nonemployee compensation and can use the data on these forms while determining eligibility for refundable tax credits. However, similar to the IRS’s use of Forms W-2 to identify refundable credit claims with income discrepancies, the IRS was not given the authority to adjust, at the time of processing, claims based on Form 1099-NEC income discrepancies. The majority of

---

\(^{10}\) TIGTA, Ref. No. 2020-40-008, Authorities Provided by the Internal Revenue Code Are Not Effectively Used to Address Erroneous Refundable Credit and Withholding Credit Claims (Feb. 2020)

\(^{11}\) Pub. L. No. 116-260, Division EE
refundable tax credit claims with income discrepancies will not be addressed because of limited IRS resources. TIGTA made no new recommendations to this finding.

**Finding 6: Revising the Nonwork Social Security Number Case Selection Increased the Revenue Protected**

TIGTA’s current review identified that the IRS has implemented a prior TIGTA recommendation and modified its procedures to address eligibility for the ACTC when a tax return claiming the EITC is selected for review. The Tax Cuts and Jobs Act\(^\text{12}\), enacted in December 2017, changed the requirements for the ACTC to require an SSN that is valid for work for each qualifying dependent. As such, similar to EITC claims, ACTC claims for which dependent(s) were issued a nonwork SSN do not qualify for the ACTC.

TIGTA reported that the IRS selected for review 1,819 Tax Year 2019 tax returns filed by individuals with a nonwork SSN who claimed the EITC or ACTC. IRS management stated that resolving these cases is expected to result in $6.3 million in protected revenue. This is a significant increase over the $3.2 million protected for Tax Year 2018 tax returns. TIGTA made no new recommendations to this finding.

---

\(^{12}\) Pub. L. 115-97, 131 Stat. 2054
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The overall objective of our audit was to determine whether the Department of the Treasury (Treasury) complied with the improper payment reporting requirements for FY 2020. We assessed Treasury’s compliance with the reporting requirements set forth in the Payment Integrity Information Act of 2019 (PIIA); and Executive Order 13520, Reducing Improper Payments and Eliminating Waste in Federal Programs. Our audit scope covered the time period October 1, 2019 through September 30, 2020 and did not include the review of programs and activities administered by the Internal Revenue Service (IRS). The Treasury Inspector General for Tax Administration (TIGTA) is responsible for the audit of IRS’s compliance with improper payment reporting requirements.

To accomplish our objective, we performed the following activities during audit fieldwork conducted from January 2021 through May 2021:

- We reviewed applicable laws, regulations, and guidance issued by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB), and Fiscal Year 2020 Treasury Implementation Guide for OMB Circular A-123 Appendix C: Requirements for Payment Integrity Improvement (Treasury’s PIIA Guidance).

- We reviewed the FY 2020 Annual Financial Report (AFR) and any accompanying information to assess whether Treasury:
  
  - published an AFR for the most recent fiscal year and posted that report and any accompanying materials required by OMB on Treasury’s website;
  - conducted a program specific risk assessment for each program or activity that conforms with PIIA, if required;
  - published improper payment estimates for all programs and activities identified as susceptible to significant improper payments under its risk assessment, if required;
  - published programmatic corrective action plans in the AFR, if required;
  - published, and is meeting, annual reduction targets for each program assessed to be at risk and estimated for improper payments, if required and applicable; and
  - reported a gross improper payment rate of less than 10 percent for each program and activity for which an improper payment estimate was obtained and published in the AFR.

- To assess Treasury’s program-specific risk assessment process, we selected the entire population of 51 non-IRS programs identified by Treasury for risk assessment. To determine the completeness and accuracy of the information reported and compliance with the applicable guidance, we reviewed the program risk assessments and supporting documentation. As noted in Finding 2 above, RMA identified the Bureau of the Fiscal Service was unable to provide sufficient documentation to support the quantitative risk assessment performed for Treasury fund FSD 0550 to reperform their non-statistical sampling methodology based on source disbursement populations.
To assess Treasury’s payment recapture audit program, we tested all non-IRS reporting entities’ recapture audit programs. To determine the completeness and accuracy of the information reported and compliance with the applicable guidance, we reviewed the components’ submissions of the payment recapture audit results and determined if the component (1) employed an internal control program to prevent, detect and recover overpayments; (2) considered all programs that expend $1 million or more annually; (3) prepared and submitted justifications for those programs that did not complete a payment recapture audit; (4) completed and submitted Payment Recapture Audit Results worksheets to Treasury’s Risk and Control Group; and (5) disposed of recovered funds in accordance with OMB guidance. In addition, we reviewed the supporting documentation related to the payment recapture audit results for two components selected. Our selection method is based on the two highest dollar overpayment amounts identified in FY 2020. We determined if the component accurately reported the payment recapture audit results on PaymentAccuracy.gov.

Management is responsible for the design, implementation, and operating effectiveness of the agency’s internal controls over payment integrity. We assessed the Treasury’s internal controls and compliance with policies and procedures necessary to satisfy the audit objectives. In particular, we determined that the principles of designing and implementing control activities within the control activities component of internal controls, as well as the principle of performing monitoring activities within the monitoring component of internal controls, were significant to Treasury’s improper payment reporting. As noted in Finding 3 above, RMA identified Fiscal Service and US Mint management’s responses to some risk assessment questions in several fund group qualitative risk assessments were inaccurate due to insufficient reviews of the risk assessments for accuracy and completeness and internal controls over the review and approval of the risk assessments not operating effectively. Specifically:

- Four instances where Fiscal Service and US Mint management’s responses were indicated as not susceptible but should have been susceptible;
- Twelve instances where Fiscal Service management’s responses were indicated as not applicable but should have been susceptible; and
- One instance where Fiscal Service management’s response was indicated as susceptible but should have been not susceptible.

However, RMA also noted the inaccurate responses to the risk assessment questions did not affect the overall risk assessment rating for any of the fund groups identified.

We also assessed the reliability of the improper payment data by (1) reconciling the data to supporting documentation, (2) reviewing existing information about the data and the system that produced them, and (3) conducting a walkthrough with Treasury’s and component entities’ personnel knowledgeable about the data. We determined that the data were sufficiently reliable for the purposes of this report.

We assessed whether internal controls are properly designed and implemented through walkthroughs. In addition, we tested the operating effectiveness of the internal controls by
reviewing and inspecting relevant documents and data and re-performing procedures. However, because our review was limited to these internal control components and underlying principles, it may not have disclosed all internal control deficiencies that may have existed at the time of this audit.
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HIGHLIGHTS: Improper Payment Rates for Refundable Tax Credits Remain High

Why TIGTA Did This Audit

This audit was initiated because TIGTA is required to assess the IRS’s compliance with the reporting requirements contained in the Payment Integrity Information Act of 2019 and Executive Order 13520. The objective of this review was to determine whether the IRS complied with the annual improper payment reporting requirements for Fiscal Year 2020.

Impact on Taxpayers

The Office of Management and Budget defines an improper payment as any payment that should not have been made, was made in an incorrect amount, or was made to an ineligible recipient. In Fiscal Year 2020, the Office of Management and Budget determined that the Earned Income Tax Credit, Additional Child Tax Credit, and American Opportunity Tax Credit are high-priority programs that are susceptible to significant improper payments. Although error rates for each of these credits remain high, the IRS attributes these refundable tax credit overclaims to their statutory design and the complexity taxpayers face when self-certifying eligibility for the refundable tax credits and not to internal control weaknesses, financial management deficiencies, or reporting failures.

What TIGTA Found

The IRS provided all required improper payment information for these refundable tax credits to the Department of the Treasury for inclusion in the Department of the Treasury Agency Financial Report Fiscal Year 2020. The IRS has not reduced overall improper payment rates for the Earned Income Tax Credit, the Additional Child Tax Credit, or the American Opportunity Tax Credit to less than 10 percent; however, an exception to the annual reduction target reporting requirement has been approved. The IRS described five barriers to reducing refundable tax credit improper payments: complexity and lack of data to verify statutory eligibility requirements, lack of correctable error authority, high turnover of eligible taxpayers, unscrupulous and/or incompetent tax return preparers, and fraud.

The IRS did not calculate the dollar amount and percentage rate of improper payments for its fourth high-risk program – the Net Premium Tax Credit. IRS management stopped working on this calculation, citing delays stemming from significant demands placed on the Department of the Treasury and the IRS in connection with the Coronavirus Disease 2019 crisis as well as delays working with the Department of Health and Human Services and the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services to develop a joint methodology for assessing improper payment risk for the Premium Tax Credit.

Finally, the IRS implemented a prior TIGTA recommendation and modified its procedures to address eligibility for the Additional Child Tax Credit when a tax return claiming the Earned Income Tax Credit is selected for review. Management expects to protect $6.3 million for Tax Year 2019 tax returns by following these procedures. This is a significant increase over the $3.2 million protected for Tax Year 2018 tax returns.

What TIGTA Recommended

TIGTA did not make any recommendations.
MEMORANDUM FOR: COMMISSIONER OF INTERNAL REVENUE

FROM: Michael E. McKenney
Deputy Inspector General for Audit

SUBJECT: Final Audit Report – Improper Payment Rates for Refundable Tax Credits Remain High (Audit # 202140001)

This report presents the results of our review to determine whether the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) complied with the annual improper payment reporting requirements for Fiscal Year 2020. This review is part of our Fiscal Year 2021 Annual Audit Plan and addresses the major management and performance challenge of Reducing Fraudulent Claims and Improper Payments.

Management’s complete response to the draft report is included as Appendix III.

Copies of this report are also being sent to the IRS managers affected by the report information. If you have any questions, please contact me or Russell P. Martin, Assistant Inspector General for Audit (Returns Processing and Account Services).
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Background

The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) defines an improper payment\(^1\) as any payment that should not have been made, was made in an incorrect amount, or was made to an ineligible recipient. Agency Inspectors General are responsible for evaluating agency information related to improper payments. The Improper Payments Information Act of 2002\(^2\) requires Federal agencies, including the Internal Revenue Service (IRS), to estimate the amount of improper payments and report to Congress annually on the causes of and the steps taken to reduce improper payments. The Improper Payments Information Act also requires agencies to address whether they have the information systems and other infrastructure needed to reduce improper payments. The annual report must also describe steps the agency has taken to ensure that agency managers are held accountable for reducing improper payments.

On March 2, 2020, the Payment Integrity Information Act of 2019 (PIIA)\(^3\) repealed several improper payment laws\(^4\) but set forth similar improper payment reporting requirements. For example, agencies must conduct a program-specific risk assessment for each program or activity identified by the agency, provide the methodology for identifying and measuring improper payments, and report on actions the agency intends to take to prevent future improper payments. In addition, the following Executive Order and OMB Circular remain in effect:

- Executive Order 13520, *Reducing Improper Payments*, signed by the President on November 20, 2009, increased Federal agencies’ accountability for reducing improper payments while continuing to ensure that Federal programs serve and provide access to intended beneficiaries. It requires Federal agencies to provide agency Inspectors General with detailed information on efforts to identify and reduce the number of improper payments in Federal programs with the highest dollar value of improper payments.

- OMB revised Circular A-123 Appendix C, *Requirements for Payment Integrity Improvement*, issued June 26, 2018. Appendix C provides agencies and Inspectors General with guidance on improper payment determinations and reporting. According to the OMB, the goal of the revised Appendix C is to transform the improper payment compliance framework to create a more unified, comprehensive, and less burdensome set of requirements. For example, agencies must report their compliance with most improper payment reporting requirements in the Agency Financial Report (AFR).\(^5\) Every year, each agency Inspector General must review its agency’s improper payment

---

1 See Appendix IV for a glossary of terms.
5 The AFR presents financial and performance information for the fiscal year with comparative prior year data, where appropriate.
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reporting in the agency’s AFR and any accompanying material, such as that provided on www.paymentaccuracy.gov, to determine if the agency complies with improper payment legislation and OMB guidance.

The OMB again updated Circular A-123 Appendix C with guidance directly related to the Inspectors General review, and issued this guidance on March 5, 2021. The guidance is effective starting in Fiscal Year (FY) 2021.

Process to identify IRS programs for improper payment risk assessment

The Department of the Treasury (Treasury Department) identifies the programs that the IRS must assess for the risk of improper payments. For FY 2020, the Treasury Department selected 20 IRS program fund groups. These funds were selected for assessment based on each fund group’s materiality to the IRS financial statements. On March 20, 2014, the OMB issued additional supplemental improper payment guidance to the Treasury Department clarifying the requirement for annual risk assessments for most refundable tax credits. In addition, the OMB’s revised guidance allows agencies to conduct improper payment risk assessments at least once every three years for programs that are deemed to be a low risk for susceptibility to significant improper payments. The IRS received approval from the Treasury Department to conduct these risk assessments on a three-year rotational schedule starting in FY 2018. Appendix II provides the list of the seven IRS programs identified for improper payment risk assessments for FY 2020.

To assess the level of risk for each identified program for FY 2020, the IRS used Quantitative Risk Assessment Summaries, Qualitative Risk Assessment Questionnaires, and Treasury Department guidance. A Quantitative Risk Assessment Summary is a review of a sample of disbursements to formulate the overall estimated improper payment rate. A Qualitative Risk Assessment Questionnaire is used to assess a program’s internal controls that could lead to susceptible improper payments. The Treasury Department updated the Qualitative Risk Assessment ratings to susceptible or not susceptible.

In FY 2020, the OMB determined that the Earned Income Tax Credit (EITC), Additional Child Tax Credit (ACTC), and American Opportunity Tax Credit (AOTC) are high-priority programs that are susceptible to significant improper payments. As a result, separate risk assessments for these programs are not required because their deliverables in the AFR fulfill improper payment requirements. For any program identified as susceptible to significant improper payments (hereafter referred to as a high-risk program), the IRS must also provide the following information for the Treasury Department’s annual AFR in the following fiscal year:

- The rate and amount of improper payments.
- The root causes of the improper payments.
- The actions taken to address the root causes.
- The annual improper payment reduction targets.
- A discussion of any limitations to the IRS’s ability to reduce improper payments.
Improper Payment Rates for Refundable Tax Credits Remain High

The Treasury Department and the IRS have requested relief from reporting erroneous refundable tax credit claims under the PIIA

In their Business Case to Eliminate Redundant Reporting of Refundable Tax Credits, dated October 30, 2020, the Treasury Department and IRS informed the OMB that the tax system is primarily a collection system and not a payment program. The business case states that, while the refundable portions of refundable tax credits are considered outlays and currently fall under the scope of payments under the PIIA, the Treasury Department and IRS do not believe that refundable tax credits meet the definition of payments in the traditional sense and, therefore, should be reported only under the Tax Gap framework that comprehensively assesses the tax collection system. The business case provides the following reasons:

- The refundable portion of tax credits, or outlays, should not be considered separately from the rest of the credit because the IRS and Treasury Department need to view any errors to address them effectively.
- Tax credits are embedded into and affected by other provisions of the Federal tax system. Tax Gap estimates and other comprehensive compliance analyses are more effective in helping the IRS identify noncompliance and allocate limited resources effectively.
- Tax Gap estimates already effectively monitor changes in refundable tax credit errors over time.
- Improper payment estimates under the PIIA provide no additional information to the IRS as it administers tax credits and addresses noncompliance.
- Refundable tax credit overclaims are largely due to the credits’ statutory design and the complexity taxpayers face when self-certifying eligibility for the refundable tax credits, not internal control weaknesses, financial management deficiencies, or reporting failures.
- Tax credits are part of an interrelated tax administration portfolio that the IRS addresses through a comprehensive enterprise risk management program.

On December 1, 2020, officials at the Treasury Department and IRS met with OMB officials to further discuss the business case and request that erroneous claims for refundable tax credits no longer be reported under improper payment requirements. On January 8, 2021, the OMB requested additional information on the business case. The Treasury Department and IRS provided responses on February 9, 2021. The OMB advised on February 10, 2021, that it will provide a response on next steps once the full OMB leadership team is in place.

Results of Review

For FY 2020, the IRS calculated the following dollar amount and percentage rate of improper payments for three of its four high-risk programs:
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- EITC – The IRS estimates 24 percent ($16.0 billion) of the total EITC payments of $68.2 billion were improper.
- ACTC – The IRS estimates 12 percent ($4.5 billion) of the total ACTC payments of $39.1 billion were improper.
- AOTC – The IRS estimates 26 percent ($2.3 billion) of the total AOTC payments of $8.9 billion were improper.

However, the IRS did not calculate the dollar amount and percentage rate of improper payments for its fourth high-risk program – the Net Premium Tax Credit (Net PTC). IRS management stopped working on this calculation when the Treasury Department notified the OMB, on August 6, 2020, that the Treasury Department’s FY 2020 AFR would not include an estimate of the Net PTC improper payments. The Treasury Department’s memorandum to the OMB cited delays stemming from significant demands placed on the Treasury Department and IRS in connection with the Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) crisis. The memorandum also cited delays working with the Department of Health and Human Services and the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services to develop a joint methodology for assessing improper payment risk for the PTC.

Finally, as we continue to report, the IRS has made little progress in reducing improper payments associated with the refundable tax credit programs it administers. However, there are actions that the IRS can take that include more effectively using authorities provided by the Internal Revenue Code. In addition to using these authorities more effectively, Congress could also assist the IRS by expanding the IRS’s authority (referred to as correctable error authority or math error authority) to correct tax returns with identified erroneous refundable tax credit claims during processing.

Assessment of Fiscal Year 2020 Compliance With Improper Payment Reporting Requirements

The OMB identified the EITC, ACTC, and AOTC Programs as high-priority programs in FY 2020. As such, the IRS is required to report annually on its efforts to reduce improper payments in these programs. Our review confirmed that the IRS provided all required improper payment information for these refundable tax credits to the Treasury Department for inclusion in the Department of the Treasury Agency Financial Report Fiscal Year 2020. The IRS has not reduced the overall improper payment rate to less than 10 percent for these three credits. However, the

---

6 Eligible taxpayers can choose to receive the Advanced Premium Tax Credit (APTC), which helps cover the cost of their health insurance premiums. These APTC payments are paid on the taxpayer’s behalf by the Treasury Department. When taxpayers prepare their tax return, they must reconcile the APTC with the amount of the PTC that they are allowed to claim. Taxpayers whose PTC exceeds their APTC have a Net PTC, which reduces their tax liability and, if more than the tax liability, results in a refundable tax credit.

7 The Department of Health and Human Services is responsible for determining the amount of the APTC the taxpayer is eligible to receive.
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IRS was approved for an exception to the annual reduction target reporting requirement. As an alternative, the OMB advised that a reduction target may remain constant given the complexities of the program, as long as the complexities are clearly explained in a footnote. Therefore, the IRS included a section in the AFR that describes five barriers to reducing refundable tax credit improper payments. The barriers are 1) complexity and lack of data to verify statutory eligibility requirements, 2) lack of correctable error authority, 3) high turnover of eligible taxpayers, 4) unscrupulous and/or incompetent tax return preparers, and 5) fraud. Figure 1 provides a summary of our evaluation of the IRS's compliance with the various improper payment reporting requirements.

Figure 1: IRS Compliance With Improper Payment Requirements for the EITC, ACTC, and AOTC Programs for FY 2020

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Improper Payment Requirement</th>
<th>Source of Requirement</th>
<th>Provided by the IRS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conduct a program-specific risk assessment for each program or activity identified by the Treasury Department.</td>
<td>PIIA</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Publish an improper payment estimate.</td>
<td>PIIA</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Report an improper payment rate of less than 10 percent.</td>
<td>PIIA</td>
<td>✗</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provide the methodology for identifying and measuring improper payments.</td>
<td>Executive Order</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Publish improper payment reduction targets.</td>
<td>PIIA/Executive Order</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Publish a programmatic corrective action plan.</td>
<td>PIIA</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Report on actions the IRS intends to take to prevent future improper payments.</td>
<td>PIIA</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Report on efforts taken or planned to recapture improper payments.</td>
<td>PIIA</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provide plans and supporting analysis for ensuring that the initiatives undertaken do not unduly burden program access and participation by eligible beneficiaries.</td>
<td>Executive Order</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provide required information for posting to the <a href="http://www.paymentaccuracy.gov">www.paymentaccuracy.gov</a> website.</td>
<td>PIIA/Executive Order</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Treasury Inspector General for Tax Administration’s (TIGTA) review of the IRS’s compliance with improper payment reporting requirements for FY 2020.

8 The IRS is required to submit quarterly scorecards to show the progress made in lieu of reduction targets. In addition, if the reduction target is a constant, a footnote should clearly explain the complexities surrounding the program.
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The Risk of Net Premium Tax Credit Improper Payments Is Not Included in the Agency Financial Report

The IRS estimated that 27.4 percent ($540.9 million) of the total Net PTC payments in FY 2019 were improper. This confirms that the improper payments, as defined by OMB guidance, meet PIIA guidelines for rating as a high risk. The OMB defines significant improper payments as gross annual improper payments in a program exceeding both 1.5 percent of program outlays and $10 million of all program or activity payments made during the fiscal year reported, or $100 million in payments regardless of the improper payment percentage of total program outlays.

The Treasury Department is required to begin reporting the estimated total Net PTC improper payments in its FY 2020 AFR. However, as previously stated, the Treasury Department notified the OMB that it will delay reporting this information due to significant demands placed upon the Treasury Department and IRS in connection with the COVID-19 crisis. The IRS continues to collaborate with the Department of Health and Human Services and Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services to develop a joint methodology for assessing improper payment risk for PTC payments and accurately define the improper payment rate for the PTC that includes both the Advanced PTC (APTC) and the Net PTC. The IRS stated that reporting a rate in the FY 2020 AFR using a separate estimation model without proper coordination with the Department of Health and Human Services and Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services would be a wasted effort and result in reporting based on a methodology inconsistent with future reporting.

Our analysis of Tax Year 2019 tax returns processed through July 31, 2020, found 4.6 million returns that self-reported nearly $35.9 billion in PTCs that were either received in advance or claimed at the time of filing. A total of $4.3 billion in APTCs claimed by these filers was in excess of the amount of the PTCs to which they were entitled. More than $1.3 billion of the amount of excess APTCs was not required to be repaid because the amount to be repaid is subject to certain limitations.9 For example, the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act10 limits the amount of the APTC that individuals with household income between 100 percent and 400 percent of the Federal Poverty Level have to repay. Figure 2 compares PTC statistics for Tax Years 2017 through 2019, which continues to show the high risk of improper PTC payments.

---
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Figure 2: PTC Statistics for Tax Years 2017 Through 2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sum of APTC and PTC Claimed at Filing In Excess of the APTC</td>
<td>$27.0 billion</td>
<td>$37.7 billion</td>
<td>$35.9 billion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total APTC Amount</td>
<td>$25.6 billion</td>
<td>$36.4 billion</td>
<td>$34.6 billion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total PTC Claimed at Filing in Excess of the APTC</td>
<td>$1.4 billion</td>
<td>$1.3 billion</td>
<td>$1.3 billion</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Tax Returns With Excess APTC Payments** — *(taxpayer receives more APTC payments than the PTC amount to which they are entitled)*

| Total Tax Returns | 2.7 million | 2.6 million | 2.4 million |
| Total PTC Amount | $9.8 billion | $13.5 billion | $12.8 billion |
| Total APTC Amount | $13.5 billion | $18.0 billion | $17.1 billion |
| Total APTC Reported in Excess of the PTC | $3.7 billion | $4.5 billion | $4.3 billion |
| Total APTC Above the Repayment Limit (individuals are not required to repay) | $1.0 billion | $1.3 billion | $1.3 billion |
| Total APTC Below the Repayment Limit (individuals are required to repay) | $2.7 billion | $3.2 billion | $3.1 billion |

*Source: TIGTA’s analysis of Individual Master File. Totals may not add due to rounding.*

IRS management stated that the Department of Health and Human Services and Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services do not plan to report their portion of improper payment rates for the PTC until FY 2022. Because the IRS’s discussions and collaboration with these agencies is ongoing, we are not making a recommendation.

**The IRS Reported the Status of All Unimplemented Recommendations to Congress As Required**

On December 20, 2019, Congress enacted the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2020.[^12] Pursuant to that act, the IRS was directed to make the elimination of improper payments an

[^1]: Tax Year 2020 returns selected through July 30, 2020, due to the IRS extending Filing Season 2020 to July 15, 2020.
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utmost priority. Further, the IRS is to implement, within 270 days of enactment of this Act, all open and unimplemented recommendations from TIGTA and the Government Accountability Office that address improper payments or report on impediments to implementation of each open recommendation. This report shall include the dollar value of improper payments, as estimated by TIGTA or the Government Accountability Office, which would be avoided through implementation of each recommendation. On January 5, 2021, the IRS reported two unimplemented Government Accountability Office recommendations to Congress, as required.

Authorities Provided by the Internal Revenue Code Are Not Effectively Used to Address Erroneous Refundable Tax Credit Payments

In February 2020, we reported on the results of our audit to evaluate the IRS’s use of available tools to deter taxpayers from repeatedly claiming erroneous or fraudulent refundable tax credits. Our review identified that the IRS does not use the tools provided to the maximum extent possible to address erroneous credit payments. For example:

- **The erroneous refund penalty** is not being assessed on the majority of taxpayers with reduced or disallowed refundable tax credit claims. For example, for Tax Years 2015, 2016, and 2017, the IRS disallowed more than $1.7 billion in refundable tax credit claims but did not assess more than $341 million in erroneous refund penalties. Congress provided the IRS with this penalty as a tool to deter aggressive claims for tax refunds and credits by increasing the cost to individuals who attempt to claim erroneous refunds. IRS management stated that the erroneous refund penalty may not be applicable for all cases in which taxpayers’ refundable tax credit claims are disallowed, and starting in Processing Year 2021, the erroneous refund penalty will be proposed on the population of taxpayers with more egregious behavior.

- **The majority of taxpayers who are recertified are not verified by the IRS.** Our review identified 311,883 tax returns for which the taxpayers’ tax accounts had a recertification indicator that either were processed during Calendar Year 2018 or had an examination that was closed during FY 2018. We identified 289,059 (93 percent) returns for which the IRS did not verify the taxpayers’ eligibility before recertifying them to receive a refundable tax credit. These taxpayers received more than $532 million in refundable tax credits. IRS management stated that, to determine whether a taxpayer is eligible to again claim a tax credit after it was disallowed on a prior tax return, an audit

---

13 TIGTA, Ref. No. 2020-40-008, Authorities Provided by the Internal Revenue Code Are Not Effectively Used to Address Erroneous Refundable Credit and Withholding Credit Claims (Feb. 2020).
14 The Small Business and Work Opportunity Tax Act of 2007 provides the IRS with the ability to assess the erroneous claim for refund or credit penalty (referred to as the erroneous refund penalty).
15 The Internal Revenue Code requires individuals whose EITC, ACTC, or AOTC claim has been reduced or disallowed to recertify their eligibility before they can receive the credit again.
16 As of July 31, 2018.
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must be conducted. Moreover, the absence of an audit does not mean that the taxpayers were not entitled to claim the credit.

- **Bans are not being used effectively to address refundable tax credit noncompliance and ensure efficient use of limited examination resources.** Our analysis of 1.9 million taxpayers who claimed the EITC, the ACTC, or the AOTC in Tax Year 2017 and did not have a ban on their tax accounts identified 3,934 taxpayers\(^{17}\) who were allowed to claim more than $12.9 million in credits despite having the same credit disallowed in the two prior tax years (Tax Years 2015 and 2016). We estimate it costs the IRS nearly $1.1 million to audit taxpayers who had already been denied the EITC, the ACTC, or the AOTC in two prior audits. IRS management stated that, starting in Processing Year 2021, systemic processes will assess the two-year ban for the ACTC.

The ineffective use of the various authorities provided in the Internal Revenue Code is a contributing factor in the high rate of improper payments. These tools include the authority to assess the erroneous refund penalty and require taxpayers to recertify that they meet refundable tax credit eligibility requirements for credits claimed on a return filed subsequent to disallowance of a credit and the ability to apply two-year or 10-year bans on taxpayers who disregard credit eligibility rules. However, the IRS does not use these tools to the fullest extent possible to address erroneous credit payments.

TIGTA made eight recommendations to the IRS Commissioner, Wage and Investment Division, in its February 2020 report. The IRS agreed or partially agreed with five recommendations. The IRS did not agree to examine all tax returns with a recertification indicator, modify systemic processes to apply the two-year ban after two audits result in the disallowance of a refundable tax credit, or develop a plan to obtain and use information from the Social Security Administration on individuals who admit to falsely reporting self-employment income to receive refundable tax credits.

**Increased Correctable Error Authority Could Reduce the Number of Potentially Erroneous Refundable Tax Credit Payments**

To give the IRS the ability to identify improper refundable tax credit claims based on incorrect income reporting, Congress included provisions in the Protecting Americans From Tax Hikes Act of 2015\(^{18}\) that changed the date for third parties to file Form W-2, **Wage and Tax Statement**, and report nonemployee income on Form 1099-MISC, **Miscellaneous Income**, to January 31. The Act also prohibits the IRS from issuing tax refunds prior to February 15 when the tax return includes the EITC or the ACTC to provide adequate time to verify income reported on these returns.

\(^{17}\) The 3,934 taxpayers are limited to those taxpayers whom the IRS audited at least twice during Tax Years 2015 through 2017, and two or more of the audits were closed with a status other than undeliverable, *i.e.*, the taxpayer received the audit letter.
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However, this Act did not provide the IRS with expanded correctable error authority to address refundable tax credit claims with identified income discrepancies.

Without this authority, the IRS must still audit each tax return to prevent or recover the unsupported refundable tax credits. As a result of limited resources, the majority of refundable tax credit claims with income discrepancies are not addressed. This, in turn, contributes to the IRS’s inability to make any significant reduction in improper refundable tax credit payments via the use of earlier reporting of income information. For example, as of July 30, 2020, our analysis of Tax Year 2019 tax returns identified more than 1.9 million tax returns with an income discrepancy of $1,000 or greater between the amount reported on the tax returns and the amount reported on Forms W-2. However, the IRS did not select these returns for further review.

Refunds associated with these 1.9 million tax returns totaled more than $9 billion, which included nearly $4.9 billion in EITCs and more than $2.3 billion in ACTCs. For 182,048 of the tax returns, we identified that the IRS received no third-party Forms W-2 supporting the wages reported on the returns (for which more than $757 million in EITCs and ACTCs was paid). Figure 3 shows the number of Tax Years 2017 through 2019 tax returns that claimed the EITC and the ACTC and did not have Forms W-2 to support the reported wages.

**Figure 3: Tax Years 2017 Through 2019 Returns Without Forms W-2 to Support Wages Reported on the Returns**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tax Year</th>
<th>Number of Returns</th>
<th>EITC/ACTC Claimed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>278,174</td>
<td>$1.0 billion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>963,618</td>
<td>$3.3 billion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>182,048</td>
<td>$757 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>1,423,840</td>
<td>$5.1 billion</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


In Processing Year 2019, the IRS implemented a systemic process to suspend all tax returns identified as potentially fraudulent to provide the IRS with additional time to receive third-party income documents, e.g., Forms W-2. For those tax returns for which a third-party income document is received, the IRS will determine if the return has good income and withholding or will send the return for further review if the income and withholding do not match. Tax returns

19 TIGTA, Ref. No. 2019-40-039, Some Refundable Credits Are Still Not Classified and Reported Correctly as a High Risk for Improper Payment by the Internal Revenue Service (May 2019).
20 TIGTA, Ref. No. 2020-40-025, Improper Payment Reporting Has Improved; However, There Have Been No Significant Reductions to the Billions of Dollars of Improper Payments (Apr. 2020).
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for which the IRS receives no third-party income document by June 15 are selected for a fraud review.

The IRS also continues to request additional authority that would allow it to correct tax returns claiming a refundable tax credit with an income discrepancy. The IRS requested this authority to address returns during processing when the information provided by the taxpayer does not match the information contained in Government databases, e.g., income information reported on the tax return does not match Form W-2 information the IRS receives from the Social Security Administration.

Recent legislation allows the IRS to use math error authority in limited instances

Section 211 (c)(1) of the Taxpayer Certainty and Disaster Tax Relief Act of 2020\(^2\) authorizes the use of math error authority for tax returns on which a taxpayer elects to use prior year earned income to calculate the EITC or ACTC but the prior year earned income reported by the taxpayer is incorrect. Specifically, the IRS has math error authority to make the following corrections:

- If an individual elects to use Tax Year 2019 earned income on the Tax Year 2020 tax return to compute either credit but the Tax Year 2019 earned income amount shown on the Tax Year 2020 return is different than the earned income amount shown on the Tax Year 2019 tax return, the IRS can use math error authority to compute the credit(s) using the earned income amount shown on the Tax Year 2019 tax return.

- If the taxpayer’s Tax Year 2019 earned income is, in fact, not greater than the earned income for Tax Year 2020, the election could be disallowed using math error authority resulting in both credits being calculated on the basis of Tax Year 2020 earned income.

Reintroducing the nonemployee compensation form will assist the IRS in determining eligibility for refundable tax credits

The IRS is reintroducing Form 1099-NEC, Nonemployee Compensation, for reporting nonemployee compensation and can use the data on these forms while determining eligibility for refundable tax credits. Businesses should issue Form 1099-NEC if the following four conditions are met:

- Payment is made to someone who is not an employee.
- Payment for services is made in the course of the trade or business.
- Payment is made to an individual, partnership, estate, or in some cases, a corporation.
- Payment is made to the payee for at least $600 during the year.

However, similar to the IRS’s use of Forms W-2 to identify refundable credit claims with income discrepancies, the IRS was not given the authority to adjust, at the time of processing, claims based on Form 1099-NEC income discrepancies. As such, the IRS will have to audit tax returns

\(^2\) Pub. L. No. 116-260, Division EE.
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with Form 1099-NEC income discrepancies. As previously stated, the majority of refundable tax credit claims with income discrepancies will not be addressed because of limited IRS resources.

Revising the Nonwork Social Security Number Case Selection Increased the Revenue Protected

In July 2017, we reported that the IRS had not established processes to prevent individuals who have a nonwork Social Security Number (SSN) from receiving the EITC. In response, the IRS initiated a pilot program to identify 500 tax returns with EITC claims during processing for which claimants were issued a nonwork SSN and, as such, did not qualify for the EITC. Claimants are asked to provide documentation that they no longer have a nonwork SSN (now have one that is valid for work) and, therefore, qualify for the EITC claimed. If the claimant cannot provide the documentation or fails to respond to the notice, the IRS will disallow the credit. Figure 4 provides a breakdown of the number of returns filed by nonwork SSN individuals for Tax Years 2017 and 2018 that the IRS selected for review, the number of the returns with reversed EITC, and the amount of revenue protected associated with the erroneous returns.

Figure 4: Returns With Nonwork SSNs Selected by the IRS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tax Year</th>
<th>Number of Returns Selected</th>
<th>Number of Returns With Reversed EITC</th>
<th>Revenue Protected</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>341</td>
<td>$1.3 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>1,142</td>
<td>782</td>
<td>$3.2 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>1,642</td>
<td>1,123</td>
<td>$4.5 million</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Return Integrity and Compliance Services function management. The 2018 Filing Season data are as of October 27, 2018, and the 2019 Filing Season data are as of May 30, 2019.

The Tax Cuts and Jobs Act, enacted in December 2017, changed the requirements for the ACTC to require an SSN that is valid for work for each qualifying dependent. As such, similar to EITC claims, ACTC claims for which dependent(s) were issued a nonwork SSN do not qualify for the ACTC. In a prior report, we recommended that the IRS systemically identify and evaluate tax returns filed by individuals who have nonwork SSNs to prevent erroneous refunds of the EITC and the ACTC. The IRS agreed with this recommendation.

23 The Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, enacted August 22, 1996, requires individuals claiming the EITC to have an SSN that is valid for work and authorizes the IRS to deny claims to those individuals who file using an invalid SSN.
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Our current review identified that the IRS modified its procedures to address eligibility for the ACTC when a tax return claiming the EITC is selected for review. The Automated Questionable Credit Program identifies and processes these questionable returns. This processing includes the IRS issuing Letter 4800C, *Questionable Credit 30 Day Contact Letter*, informing the taxpayer of a proposed tax deficiency or disallowed claim for refund. If the taxpayer does not respond to this letter within 30 days, the IRS sends Letter 3219C, *Statutory Notice of Deficiency*, allowing the taxpayer 90 days to petition the Tax Court for relief. If the taxpayer does not petition the court within 90 days or respond to Letter 3219C, the IRS will disallow the EITC/ACTC claim.

The IRS selected for review 1,819 Tax Year 2019 tax returns filed by individuals with a nonwork SSN who claimed the EITC or ACTC. The IRS is in the process of issuing 450 Letters 4800C. The IRS also reversed the EITC/ACTC on 88 returns and protected about $450,000 in revenue through January 9, 2021. However, the IRS was unable to resolve the remaining 1,281 cases due to suspension of Tax Court operations and the IRS placing a moratorium on tax assessments due to COVID-19. Management stated that resolving these cases, after the moratorium is lifted, is expected to result in $6.3 million in protected revenue. This is a significant increase over the $3.2 million protected for Tax Year 2018 tax returns.
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Appendix I

Detailed Objective, Scope, and Methodology

Our overall objective was to determine whether the IRS complied with the annual improper payment reporting requirements for FY 2020. To accomplish our objective, we:

• Determined if the IRS complied with the improper payment reporting requirements for FY 2020.

• Reviewed the information the IRS provided to the Treasury Department for inclusion in the AFR to determine if sufficient information was provided to satisfy the reporting requirements.

• Reviewed information that the IRS provided to the Treasury Department for posting to the www.paymentaccuracy.gov website.

• Determined if the information included in the FY 2020 Treasury AFR relative to EITC improper payments accurately reflects the underlying information from the IRS and was posted to www.paymentaccuracy.gov or other Internet locations as required.

• Evaluated the adequacy of the IRS’s methodology to calculate the ACTC and AOTC improper payment rate and dollar amounts.

• Determined the potential ACTC and AOTC improper payment rate for FY 2020. We ensured that the IRS’s determination of improper payment risk was consistent with the potential improper payment rate.

• Determined the potential PTC improper payment rate for FY 2020. We ensured that the IRS’s determination of the improper payment risk was consistent with the potential improper payment rate. We assessed the IRS’s efforts to evaluate the risk of PTC improper payments.

• Evaluated the adequacy of the IRS’s FY 2020 risk assessment for the Treasury Department’s identified revenue program funds.

• Followed up on select prior audit findings to ensure that the IRS has taken the agreed upon action to resolve the issues.

• Determined if the IRS has taken action to analyze the available nonwork SSN data and evaluate its usefulness to identify potentially fraudulent EITC claims.

• Determined if the IRS has taken actions to use third-party income documents to identify discrepancies to prevent the issuance of EITC and ACTC claims when the income reported on the tax return is not supported by Forms W-2 on the Information Return Master File.
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Performance of This Review

This review was performed with information obtained from the Office of the Chief Financial Officer and the Office of Research, Applied Analytics, and Statistics located at the IRS Headquarters in Washington, D.C., and the Office of Return Integrity and Correspondence Services in Atlanta, Georgia, during the period October 2020 through February 2021. We conducted this performance audit in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objective. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objective.

Major contributors to the report were Russell P. Martin, Assistant Inspector General for Audit (Returns Processing and Account Services); W. Allen Gray, Director; Paula W. Johnson, Audit Manager; Edgar L. Moon Jr., Lead Auditor; and Audrey M. Graper, Auditor.

Validity and Reliability of Data From Computer-Based Systems

During this review, we relied on data received from the IRS for the National Research Program on the ACTC, the AOTC, the PTC, and the EITC for Tax Year 2016. We also obtained extracts from the IRS’s Individual Master File and the Individual Return Transaction File databases for Processing Year 2020 that were available on TIGTA’s Data Center Warehouse. We evaluated the data by 1) performing electronic testing of required data elements, 2) reviewing existing information about the data and the systems that produced them, and 3) interviewing agency officials knowledgeable about the data. We determined that the data were sufficiently reliable for purposes of this report.

Internal Controls Methodology

Internal controls relate to management’s plans, methods, and procedures used to meet their mission, goals, and objectives. Internal controls include the processes and procedures for planning, organizing, directing, and controlling program operations. They include the systems for measuring, reporting, and monitoring program performance. We determined that the following internal controls were relevant to our audit objective: the controls in place to ensure that the IRS met the annual improper payment reporting requirements established in the PIIA and Executive Order 13520. We tested these controls by reviewing and analyzing relevant documents, data, and calculations related to the preparation of EITC improper payment estimate information.
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IRS Programs Identified for Improper Payment Risk Assessments

The following IRS programs were identified by the Treasury Department for improper payment risk assessments for FY 2020.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IRS Program</th>
<th>Type of Program</th>
<th>Level of Risk Identified</th>
<th>Total Non-Federal Disbursements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Headquarters Disbursement</td>
<td>Revenue</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>$59.2 billion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earned Income Credit</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional Child Tax Credit</td>
<td>Revenue</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>$28.9 billion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Opportunity Credit</td>
<td>Revenue</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>$2.9 billion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Premium Tax Credit</td>
<td>Revenue</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>$58.4 billion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health Care Credit</td>
<td>Administrative</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>$24.3 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refund – Corporations</td>
<td>Revenue</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>$8.2 billion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refund Collection – Interest</td>
<td>Revenue</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>$2.0 billion</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: IRS Office of the Chief Financial Officer.

1 The EITC, ACTC, and AOTC have been declared high-priority programs for improper payments by the OMB; therefore, no formal risk assessment is required for these revenue funds.

2 A risk assessment was required; however, the IRS was granted an exemption for reporting an estimate for the PTC for FY 2020, as implementing COVID-19 programs was determined to be a priority.
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Management’s Response to the Draft Report

April 23, 2021

MEMORANDUM FOR MICHAEL E. MCKENNEY
DEPUTY INSPECTOR GENERAL FOR AUDIT

FROM: Teresa R. Hunter
Chief Financial Officer

Teresa R. Hunter
Hunters

SUBJECT: Response to Draft Audit Report – Improper Payment Rates for Refundable Tax Credits Remain High (Audit #202140001)

Thank you for the opportunity to review and comment on your draft audit report entitled Improper Payment Rates for Refundable Tax Credits Remain High. The programs examined in this report are refundable tax credits (RTC) designed to achieve specific economic and social objectives, such as reducing poverty and increasing the affordability of higher education. Programs with RTC present challenges of administering complex social benefit programs, such as the Earned Income Tax Credit, the American Opportunity Tax Credit, the Additional Child Tax Credit, and the Premium Tax Credit component of the Affordable Care Act through the tax administration system. These, and other social programs, have been expanded and will continue to require education, oversight, and compliance activities going forward to ensure the correct taxpayers receive the correct benefits.

Concerning the summary of the Business Case to Eliminate Redundant Reporting of Refundable Tax Credits (pages 2 and 3), we are continuing discussions with the Office of Management and Budget to eliminate redundant RTC reporting. RTC overclaims are largely due to their statutory design and complexity taxpayers face when self-certifying RTC eligibility, not internal control weaknesses or reporting failures. The tax system is primarily a collection system and not a payment program. While the refundable portions of RTCs are considered outlays and currently fall under the scope of payments under the Payment Information Integrity Act of 2019, we do not believe that RTCs meet the definition of payments. Accordingly, RTC overclaims are more effectively remediated in the Tax Gap Estimate Program, where they can be prioritized relative to all other types of tax noncompliance identified by this enterprise risk approach.

We appreciate your office’s conscientious evaluation of these programs and the IRS’s continuing efforts to reduce and eliminate erroneous claims within the structure of the tax administration system. If you have any questions, please contact me at 202-317-4147, or a member of your staff may contact Jonathan Edelson, Acting Associate Chief Financial Officer for Internal Controls, at 202-803-9206.

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY
INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20224

CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER
### Appendix IV

#### Glossary of Terms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Additional Child Tax Credit (ACTC)</td>
<td>A credit for individuals who receive less than the full amount of the nonrefundable Child Tax Credit. The ACTC may result in a refund even if no tax is owed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advanced Premium Tax Credit (APTC)</td>
<td>Payments that help eligible taxpayers cover the cost of insurance premiums, which is reported to the IRS on Form 1095-A, <em>Health Insurance Marketplace Statement</em>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Opportunity Tax Credit (AOTC)</td>
<td>A credit for qualified education expenses paid for an eligible student for the first four years of higher education. Forty percent of the AOTC may be refundable. This means that if the refundable portion of the credit is more than the tax liability, the excess will be refunded.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Center Warehouse</td>
<td>The Data Center Warehouse is a TIGTA Office of Information Technology function that obtains and stores numerous IRS data files and makes them available to auditors and investigators via the TIGTA Intranet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earned Income Tax Credit (EITC)</td>
<td>A credit for certain low-income individuals who meet earned income, adjusted gross income, and certain other requirements. EITC greater than the tax liability may be refunded.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excess Advanced Premium Tax Credit</td>
<td>When the APTC is more than the allowed PTC (APTC minus PTC = Excess APTC). This is treated as a tax liability.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filing Season</td>
<td>The period from January through mid-April when most individual income tax returns are filed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiscal Year</td>
<td>Any yearly accounting period, regardless of its relationship to a calendar year. The Federal Government’s fiscal year begins on October 1 and ends on September 30.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fund Group</td>
<td>Programs the Treasury Department identifies that the IRS must assess for the risk of improper payments.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-Priority Program</td>
<td>Program identified as susceptible to significant improper payments.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improper Payment</td>
<td>Any payment that should not have been made or that was made in an incorrect amount under statutory, contractual, administrative, or other legally applicable requirements (including both overpayments and underpayments).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Improper Payment Rates for Refundable Tax Credits Remain High

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Improper Payment Rate</td>
<td>Amount in improper payments divided by the amount in program outlays for a given program in a given fiscal year.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incorrect Amount</td>
<td>An overpayment or underpayment made to an eligible recipient, including payments that are incorrect amounts or duplicate payments.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individual Master File</td>
<td>The IRS database that maintains transactions or records of individual tax accounts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individual Return Transaction File</td>
<td>This process displays Individual Master File, Individual Return Transaction File, and National Account Profile data by account.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information Returns Master File</td>
<td>Maintains a master batch file of current tax year information returns and maintains access to nine prior years. Beginning in January of each year, extracts are made from the entire Individual Returns Master File on a weekly basis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Premium Tax Credit</td>
<td>When the PTC exceeds the APTC (PTC minus APTC = Net PTC). Net PTC reduces a taxpayer’s tax liability and, if it is more than the tax liability, results in a refundable tax credit.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Federal Disbursements</td>
<td>Outlays to taxpayers (claims on tax returns).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonwork Social Security Number</td>
<td>A nonwork SSN is issued to obtain Federal benefits, e.g., Medicaid or food stamps, and is not valid for work. The Social Security Administration has issued almost 8 million “NOT VALID FOR EMPLOYMENT” SSNs since 1972 to individuals who do not have authorization to work in the United States.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outlay</td>
<td>A payment to liquidate an obligation generally equal to cash disbursements (the measure of Government spending).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overpayment</td>
<td>Amount of the refundable tax credit paid to taxpayers as a refund.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payment</td>
<td>Any disbursement or transfer of Federal funds to any non-Federal person, non-Federal entity, or Federal employee, which is made by a Federal agency, contractor, grantee, or a governmental or other organization administering a Federal program or activity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Premium Tax Credit (PTC)</td>
<td>Refundable tax credit that helps eligible individuals and families cover the premiums for their health insurance purchased through the Health Insurance Marketplace.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Processing Year</td>
<td>The calendar year in which the tax return or document is processed by the IRS.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program</td>
<td>Activities or sets of activities recognized as programs by the public, OMB, or Congress as well as those that entail program management or policy direction.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Improper Payment Rates for Refundable Tax Credits Remain High

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Qualitative Risk Assessment</td>
<td>A questionnaire used to assess a program's internal control risks that could lead to susceptibility to significant improper payments.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quantitative Risk Assessment</td>
<td>A review of a sample of disbursements to formulate the overall estimated improper payment rate for the program.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Significant Improper Payment</td>
<td>Gross annual improper payments (i.e., the total amount of overpayments and underpayments) in the program exceeding 1) both 1.5 percent of program outlays and $10 million of all program or activity payments made during the fiscal year reported or 2) $100 million (regardless of the improper payment percentage of total program outlays).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tax Gap</td>
<td>The Tax Gap is the estimated difference between the amount of tax that taxpayers should pay and the amount that is paid voluntarily and on time.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tax Year</td>
<td>A 12-month accounting period for keeping records on income and expenses used as the basis for calculating the annual taxes due. For most individual taxpayers, the tax year is synonymous with the calendar year.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Underpayment</td>
<td>The amount disallowed in processing (and not subsequently allowed prior to the examination) that should have been allowed based on an examination of the taxpayer's books and records.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix V

Abbreviations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ACTC</td>
<td>Additional Child Tax Credit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AFR</td>
<td>Agency Financial Report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AOTC</td>
<td>American Opportunity Tax Credit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APTC</td>
<td>Advanced Premium Tax Credit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EITC</td>
<td>Earned Income Tax Credit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY</td>
<td>Fiscal Year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRS</td>
<td>Internal Revenue Service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OMB</td>
<td>Office of Management and Budget</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIIA</td>
<td>Payment Integrity Information Act</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTC</td>
<td>Premium Tax Credit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSN</td>
<td>Social Security Number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIGTA</td>
<td>Treasury Inspector General for Tax Administration</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
To report fraud, waste, or abuse, call our toll-free hotline at:

(800) 366-4484

By Web:

www.treasury.gov/tigta/

Or Write:

Treasury Inspector General for Tax Administration

P.O. Box 589

Ben Franklin Station

Washington, D.C. 20044-0589

Information you provide is confidential, and you may remain anonymous.
Appendix 3: Treasury Programs’ Compliance with PIIA Criteria

The table below summarizes Treasury programs’ compliance with the PIIA reporting requirements by program.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program Name</th>
<th>Published an AFR (1)</th>
<th>Conducted a Risk Assessment</th>
<th>Published an Improper Payment Estimate (2)</th>
<th>Published Corrective Action Plans (2)</th>
<th>Published and Meeting Reduction Targets (2)</th>
<th>Reported an Improper Payment Rate of Less than 10 Percent (2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fiscal Service - Salaries and Expenses, Fiscal Service</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiscal Service - Reimbursements to Federal Reserve Banks</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiscal Service - Financial Agent Services</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiscal Service - Federal Reserve Bank Reimbursement Fund</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiscal Service - Debt Collection Special Fund</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiscal Service - Interest on the Public Debt</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiscal Service - Restitution of Foregone Interest</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiscal Service - Corporation for Public Broadcasting</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiscal Service - Payment to Legal Services Corp</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiscal Service - Fed. Pay. Resident Tuition Sup</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiscal Service - Judgments, Court of Claims</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiscal Service - Judgments, U.S. Courts</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiscal Service - Claims for Damages</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiscal Service - Claims for Contract Disputes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiscal Service - Fed. Pay- Emerg. Pl &amp; Sec</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiscal Service - Refund Money Erroneously Rec’d</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiscal Service - Fed. Pay- School Improvement</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiscal Service - Payment to Resolution Fd Corp</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiscal Service - Interest on Uninvested Fds</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiscal service - D.C. Water &amp; Sewage</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiscal Service - Travel Promotion Fund, Corp for Travel Promotion</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiscal Service - Gulf Coast Restoration Trust Fund</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal Revenue Service - Refund Collection - Interest</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal Revenue Service - HQ Disbursement EIC (EITC)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes*</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal Revenue Service - Pay.-Child Credit Exceeds Liab (ACTC)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes*</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal Revenue Service - Pay.-Health Care Credit Exceeds</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal Revenue Service - Refund - Corporations</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program Name</td>
<td>Published an AFR (1)</td>
<td>Conducted a Risk Assessment</td>
<td>Published an Improper Payment Estimate (2)</td>
<td>Published Corrective Action Plans (2)</td>
<td>Published and Meeting Reduction Targets (2)</td>
<td>Reported an Improper Payment Rate of Less than 10 Percent (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal Revenue Service - Pynt. Where American Opprt. Credit, Recovery Act (AOTC)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes*</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal Revenue Service - Refundable Premium Assistance Tax Credit (PTC)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Development Financial Institutions Fund - CDFI Equity Investment Dividend</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Development Financial Institutions Fund - CDFI BGP Financing Fund</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Development Financial Institutions Fund - Capital Magnet Fund</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office of DC Pensions - District of Columbia Federal Pension Fund</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office of DC Pensions - DC Judicial Retirement and Survivor's Annuity Fund</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Departmental Offices - Salaries and Expenses, DO</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Departmental Offices - TFI</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Departmental Offices - Cybersecurity Enhancement Account</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office of International Assistance - Global Environment Facility, Funds Appropriated to</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office of International Assistance - Contribution to the Asian Development Fund, Funds</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office of International Assistance - Contribution International Develop Association</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office of International Assistance - Contribution to African Development Fd</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office of International Assistance - Contribution to African Development Bk</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office of International Assistance - Int'l Fund for Agric. Develop.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office of Financial Stability - Salaries and Expenses, OFS</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office of Financial Stability - Home Affordable Modification Program</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office of the Inspector General - Salaries and Expenses, OIG Special Inspector General for Troubled Asset Relief Program - Office of Special Inspector General TARP</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Program Name | Published an AFR (1) | Conducted a Risk Assessment | Published an Improper Payment Estimate (2) | Published Corrective Action Plans (2) | Published and Meeting Reduction Targets (2) | Reported an Improper Payment Rate of Less than 10 Percent (2)
--- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | ---
Departmental Offices - Inter. Affairs Technical Assistance Program | Yes | Yes | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A
Departmental Offices - Treasury Forfeiture Fund | Yes | Yes | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A
Treasury Inspector General for Tax Administration - Treasury IG for Tax Admin. | Yes | Yes | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A
Bureau of Engraving and Printing - Bureau of Engraving and Printing Fund | Yes | Yes | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A
Treasury Franchise Fund - Treasury Franchise Fund | Yes | Yes | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A
Financial Crimes Enforcement Network - Salaries and Expenses, FinCEN | Yes | Yes | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A
Office of Financial Research - Financial Research Fund | Yes | Yes | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A
The Mint - United States Mint Public Enterprise Fund | Yes | Yes | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A
Office of the Comptroller of the Currency - Assessment Funds, OCC | Yes | Yes | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A
Alcohol Tobacco and Trade Bureau - Salaries and Expenses, TTB | Yes | Yes | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A
Alcohol Tobacco and Trade Bureau - Internal Revenue, Collections for Puerto Rico | Yes | Yes | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A

(1) For the Published an AFR criteria, which applies at an agency level, if the agency is compliant, then the programs are all compliant.

(2) The requirements apply only to the programs that are susceptible to significant improper payments.

* The IRS is required to submit quarterly scorecards to show the progress made in lieu of reduction targets. In addition, if the reduction target is a constant, a footnote should clearly explain the complexities surrounding the program.
MEMORANDUM FOR DIRECTOR JAMES HODGE
FINANCIAL STATEMENT AND PROCUREMENT AUDITS,
OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

FROM: Robert Mahaffie, Acting Assistant Secretary for Management

SUBJECT: Audit of Treasury’s Compliance with the Payment Integrity Reporting Requirements for Fiscal Year (FY) 2020

May 25, 2021

We have reviewed the draft audit report on Treasury’s improper payment reporting for FY 2020 and appreciate the opportunity to respond. We recognize the importance of achieving full compliance with the Payment Integrity Information Act of 2019 (PIIA), which includes complying with the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Memorandum M-19-21 Appendix C to Circular A-123, Requirements for Payment Integrity Improvement, Executive Order 13520, Reducing Improper Payments and Eliminating Waste in Federal Programs, and OMB Circular A-136, Financial Reporting Requirements.

Your audit concluded that Treasury did not comply with the PIIA requirements for FY 2020 as the improper payment rate for the Earned Income Tax Credit, the American Opportunity Tax Credit, and the Additional Child Tax Credit are greater than 10 percent, as reported by the Treasury Inspector General for Tax Administration (TIGTA). We appreciate your acknowledgement that Treasury was in compliance with all other PIIA requirements.

We have long held that refundable tax credits (RTC)s are not “payments” as intended under the improper payments legislation, as the tax system is a collection system rather than a payment system. Whereas a payment system is generally designed to implement internal controls that provide for appropriate verification and validation prior to payments being made, the statutory structure and design for administering RTCs prevents the IRS from verifying or validating such amounts prior to making the refund payment. Consequently, RTC overclaims are not the result of internal control weaknesses that we can remediate internally but are, in fact, the result of factors beyond our control under current law and existing authority. Even if we could obtain legislative changes and invest in additional resources and verification solutions that would ultimately reduce overclaims, the benefits derived would be marginal relative to the cost of doing so. Audits by the Government Accountability Office and TIGTA have also concluded that RTC overclaims are largely due to the statutory design and complexity of the RTCs, not internal control weaknesses, financial management deficiencies, or reporting failures.

We are continuing to collaborate with the IRS and OMB to identify a more effective way to report on estimated payment errors associated with the RTC programs. Hence, we will continue incorporating this information on these programs in the Department’s Agency Financial Report as part of a broader discussion on the tax gap and tax burden.

Attached is our response to your recommendations. If you have any questions, please let me know, or you may contact Carole Banks, Deputy Chief Financial Officer, at (202) 622-0818.

Attachment
Attachment 1: Management’s Response to PIIA Audit Recommendations
Attachment 1

Management’s Response to PIIA Audit Recommendations

RMA Recommendation #1:

RMA recommends Treasury’s Risk and Control Group (RCG) work with Fiscal Service management to revise its controls over the review and approval process to verify the quantitative risk assessment includes sufficient supporting documentation to substantiate Fiscal Service’s improper payment amount derived from its non-statistical sampling methodology.

Management’s Response:

Treasury management concurs with RMA’s recommendations. Treasury will work with the Bureau of Fiscal Service to enhance the controls over the review and approval process for verifying that the qualitative risk assessment includes sufficient supporting documentation.

In addition, Treasury management will host a roundtable for all bureaus and offices in which we will emphasize the requirements to maintain adequate supporting documentation and walk through the risk assessment and review examples of sufficient supporting documentation for the quantitative risk assessment.

RMA Recommendation #2:

RMA recommends Treasury’s Risk and Control Group (RCG) work with Fiscal Service and US Mint management to provide evidence of sufficient review and approval on the program-specific risk assessments indicating management responses to risk assessment questions are complete and accurate.

Management’s Response:

Treasury management concurs with RMA’s recommendations. Treasury will work with the Bureau of Fiscal Service and the U.S. Mint to ensure they include an additional review of their risk assessments after they are consolidated. Treasury management will host a roundtable for all bureaus and offices in which we will emphasize the need to thoroughly review the program risk assessments so that assessment questions are complete and accurate. Also, Treasury has updated the Department-wide PIIA guidance to emphasize that the deliverables must be signed by the Chief Financial Officer (CFO) or equivalent, or a senior management official. This signature will certify the review and accuracy of the deliverable. Treasury has also revised all deliverable templates to ensure they have proper signature lines and a statement certifying the accuracy of the deliverable.

Implementation Date: August 1, 2021

Responsible Official: Assistant Secretary for Management and Deputy Chief Financial Officer
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