

















## **Audit Report**



OIG-11-044

Audit of the Exchange Stabilization Fund's Fiscal Years 2010 and 2009 Financial Statements

December 6, 2010

# Office of Inspector General

Department of the Treasury



### DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY WASHINGTON, D.C. 20220

December 6, 2010

#### **MEMORANDUM FOR MARK SOBEL**

DEPUTY ASSISTANT SECRETARY FOR INTERNATIONAL MONETARY AND FINANCIAL POLICY

FROM: Michael Fitzgerald

Director, Financial Audits

**SUBJECT:** Audit of the Exchange Stabilization Fund's Fiscal Years 2010

and 2009 Financial Statements

I am pleased to transmit the attached audited Exchange Stabilization Fund (ESF) financial statement for fiscal years 2010 and 2009. Under a contract monitored by the Office of Inspector General, KPMG LLP, an independent certified public accounting firm, performed an audit of the financial statements of ESF as of September 30, 2010 and 2009 and for the years then ended. The contract required that the audit be performed in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards; applicable provisions of Office of Management and Budget Bulletin No. 07-04, *Audit Requirements for Federal Financial Statements*, as amended; and the *GAO/PCIE Financial Audit Manual*.

The following reports, prepared by KPMG LLP, are incorporated in the attachment:

- Independent Auditors' Report;
- Independent Auditors' Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting; and
- Independent Auditors' Report on Compliance and Other Matters.

In its audit, KPMG LLP found that the financial statements were fairly presented, in all material respects, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. However, KPMG LLP identified a significant deficiency related to accounting for movements in foreign currency values for other foreign currency denominated assets and investment securities, which was not considered a material weakness. Further, KPMG LLP found no instances of reportable noncompliance with laws and regulations tested.

#### Page 2

In connection with the contract, we reviewed KPMG LLP's reports and related documentation and inquired of its representatives. Our review, as differentiated from an audit performed in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards, was not intended to enable us to express, and we do not express, an opinion on the financial statements or conclusions about the effectiveness of internal control or compliance with laws and regulations. KPMG LLP is responsible for the attached auditors' reports dated December 2, 2010 and the conclusions expressed in the reports. However, our review disclosed no instances where KPMG LLP did not comply, in all material respects, with generally accepted government auditing standards.

Should you have any questions, please contact me at (202) 927-5789, or a member of your staff may contact Catherine Yi, Manager, Financial Audits at (202) 927-5591.

Attachment



# DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY EXCHANGE STABILIZATION FUND

Financial Report

Fiscal Year 2010

# DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY EXCHANGE STABILIZATION FUND

#### **Table of Contents**

	Page
Policy and Operations Statements	2
Independent Auditors' Report	5
Independent Auditors' Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting	6
Independent Auditors' Report on Compliance and Other Matters	10
Financial Statements:	
Statements of Financial Position	11
Statements of Income and Comprehensive Income and Retained Earnings	12
Statements of Cash Flows	13
Notes to Financial Statements	14

#### EXCHANGE STABILIZATION FUND POLICY AND OPERATIONS STATEMENTS FISCAL YEAR 2010

#### The Nature and Function of the Exchange Stabilization Fund

The Gold Reserve Act of 1934 established a fund to be operated by the Secretary of the Treasury, with the approval of the President. Section 10 of the Act provided that "For the purpose of stabilizing the exchange value of the dollar, the Secretary of the Treasury, with the approval of the President, directly or through such agencies as he may designate, is authorized, for the account of the fund established in this section, to deal in gold and foreign exchange and such other instruments of credit and securities as he may deem necessary to carry out the purpose of this section." To this end, the Congress, in 1934, appropriated to the Exchange Stabilization Fund (ESF) the sum of \$2 billion out of the increment resulting from the reduction in the "weight of the gold dollar." Subsequent amendments to the Gold Reserve Act approved the operation of the ESF through June 30, 1945. Section 7 of the Bretton Woods Agreements Acts, approved July 31, 1945, continued its operations permanently.

The Bretton Woods Agreements Act also directed the Secretary of the Treasury to pay \$1.8 billion from the ESF to the International Monetary Fund (IMF), for the initial U. S. quota subscription in the IMF, thereby reducing the ESF's appropriated capital to \$200 million.

Reflecting termination of the fixed exchange rate system, legislation enacted in 1976 (P.L. 94-564, October 19, 1976) amended the language of Section 10 of the Gold Reserve Act to specify that the ESF is to be utilized as the Secretary "may deem necessary to and consistent with the United States obligations in the International Monetary Fund." This amendment became effective on April 1, 1978, the date of entry into force of the Second Amendment of the IMF Articles of Agreement. In 1977, P.L. 95-147 further amended Section 10 of the Gold Reserve Act. Following codification, Section 10 now provides in relevant part:

Consistent with the obligations of the Government in the International Monetary Fund on orderly exchange arrangements and a stable system of exchange rates, the Secretary or an agency designated by the Secretary, with the approval of the President, may deal in gold, foreign exchange, and other instruments of credit and securities the Secretary considers necessary. However, a loan or credit to a foreign entity or government of a foreign country may be made for more than 6 months in a 12-month period only if the President gives Congress a written statement that unique or emergency circumstances require the loan or credit be for more than 6 months (31 U.S.C. 5302 (b)).

Pursuant to the Special Drawing Rights Act of 1968 (P.L. 90-349, amended by P.L. 94-564), Special Drawing Rights (SDRs) allocated by the IMF to the United States or otherwise acquired by the United States are resources of the ESF.

Section 286p of Title 22 of the United States Code allows for SDRs to be monetized/demonetized through the issuance/redemption by the Secretary of the Treasury of SDR certificates to the Federal Reserve Banks in exchange for dollars. The total amount of SDR

#### EXCHANGE STABILIZATION FUND POLICY AND OPERATIONS STATEMENTS FISCAL YEAR 2010

certificates outstanding cannot exceed the dollar equivalent of ESF (i.e., U. S.) holdings of SDRs; such certificates are a liability of the ESF.

#### I. Foreign Currency Operations

During fiscal year 2010, the ESF engaged in no foreign exchange market transactions.

#### a. Euros and Japanese Yen

The ESF had a net valuation loss of \$51.6 million on its holdings of euros and yen. The ESF had investment income of \$230.9 million equivalent on its euro and yen assets.

#### b. Mexico

In December 2009, the Treasury and Federal Reserve Bank of New York, acting as Treasury's fiscal agent, renewed the Exchange Stabilization Agreement with Mexico for another year to December 2010.

#### II. SDR Operations

As of September 30, 2010, U. S. holdings (assets) of SDRs totaled SDR 36.9 billion (\$57.4 billion equivalent), a net increase of SDR .3 billion during Fiscal Year 2010. However, as the SDR depreciated against the dollar in this period, there was a net valuation loss of \$1 billion on U.S. holdings of SDRs. The ESF reimbursed the Treasury's General Fund \$23.4 million for SDRs received from the IMF as remuneration on the U.S. reserve position in the IMF. The ESF earned interest of \$147.1 million equivalent on its SDR holdings.

As of September 30, 2010, cumulative allocations to (liabilities of) the United States totaled SDR 35.3 billion (\$55.0 billion equivalent). These liabilities would come due only in the event of liquidation of, or U.S. withdrawal from, the SDR Department of the IMF, or cancellation of SDRs.

There were \$5.2 billion of SDR certificates that had been issued to the Federal Reserve System prior to fiscal year 2010.

#### III. Income and Expense

Interest revenue totaled \$397.4 million, consisting of \$19.3 million in interest on dollar holdings invested in U.S. Government securities, \$0.2 million in interest on dollar holdings invested in Government Sponsored Enterprises (GSE) securities, \$147.1 million equivalent in interest on SDR holdings, and \$230.9 million equivalent in interest on foreign currency investments.

#### EXCHANGE STABILIZATION FUND POLICY AND OPERATIONS STATEMENTS FISCAL YEAR 2010

Interest expense totaled \$141.0 million, primarily representing interest charges on SDR Allocations.

IV. On November 19, 2008, Treasury entered into a transaction with the Reserve Fund's U.S. Government Fund (USGF), under which Treasury (1) executed the Guarantee Agreement which accepted the USGF into the Treasury Guarantee Program (see Note 7) and (2) signed a Letter Agreement with the USGF. Under the terms of the Letter Agreement, Treasury was obligated to purchase the USGF's remaining securities issued by four U.S. government sponsored enterprises. On January 15, 2009, the ESF purchased approximately \$3.6 billion of these securities; the purchase price representing the amortized cost of the remaining securities, plus accrued but unpaid interest. Upon consummation of the purchase, these GSE securities were classified as held to maturity. By November 2009, all such GSE securities had matured.



### **KPMG LLP** Washington, DC

#### **Independent Auditors' Report**

Inspector General U.S. Department of the Treasury:

We have audited the accompanying statements of financial position of the U.S. Department of the Treasury's Exchange Stabilization Fund ("ESF") as of September 30, 2010 and 2009, and the related statements of income and comprehensive income and retained earnings, and cash flows, (hereinafter referred to as "financial statements") for the years then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the ESF's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Bulletin No. 07-04, *Audit Requirements for Federal Financial Statements*, as amended. Those standards and OMB Bulletin No. 07-04 require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the ESF's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the ESF as of September 30, 2010 and 2009, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the years then ended in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

Our audits were conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the financial statements taken as a whole. The information in the Policy and Operations Statements section on pages 2 to 4 is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not required as part of the financial statements. This information has not been subjected to auditing procedures and, accordingly, we express no opinion on it.

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our reports dated December 2, 2010, on our consideration of the ESF's internal control over financial reporting and our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and other matters. The purpose of those reports is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. Those reports are an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* and should be read in conjunction with this report in assessing the results of our audits.





### **KPMG LLP** Washington, DC

#### **Independent Auditors' Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting**

Inspector General U.S. Department of the Treasury:

We have audited the statements of financial position of the U.S. Department of the Treasury's Exchange Stabilization Fund ("ESF") as of September 30, 2010 and 2009 and the related statements of income and comprehensive income and retained earnings, and cash flows (hereinafter referred to as "financial statements") for the years then ended, and have issued our report thereon dated December 2, 2010.

We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Bulletin No. 07-04, *Audit Requirements for Federal Financial Statements*, as amended. Those standards and OMB Bulletin No. 07-04 require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement.

The management of the ESF is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control. In planning and performing our fiscal year 2010 audit, we considered the ESF's internal control over financial reporting by obtaining an understanding of the design effectiveness of ESF's internal control, determining whether internal controls had been placed in operation, assessing control risk, and performing tests of controls as a basis for designing our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the ESF's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the ESF's internal control over financial reporting. We did not test all internal controls relevant to operating objectives as broadly defined by the *Federal Managers' Financial Integrity Act of 1982*.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the third paragraph of this report and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be deficiencies, significant deficiencies, or material weaknesses.

In our fiscal year 2010 audit, we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above. However, we identified a certain deficiency in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be a significant deficiency as described below.



#### SIGNIFICANT DEFICIENCY

#### **Financial Management**

Management improperly recognized the movements in foreign currency values related to Other Foreign Currency Denominated Assets (FCDAs) and Investment Securities as current income, as opposed to properly classifying these fair value changes as a separate component of equity (i.e. specifically as other comprehensive income). Unrealized gains on these available-for-sale securities are comprised of two components – 1) movements in interest rates and credit risks, and 2) movements in foreign currency values. Management only reported the movement in interest rates and credit risks in other comprehensive income, rather than including both components.

Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) section 320-10-35-36 requires that the entire change in the fair value of foreign-currency-denominated available-for-sale debt securities be reported in other comprehensive income.

The U.S. Government Accountability Office's (GAO) Standards for Internal Control in the *Federal Government* (GAO/AIMD-00-21.3.1) states: "Transactions should be promptly recorded to maintain their relevance and value to management in controlling operations and making decisions. This applies to the entire process or life cycle of a transaction or event from the initiation and authorization through its final classification in summary records. In addition, control activities help to ensure that all transactions are completely and accurately recorded." It also states, "Internal control and all transactions and other significant events need to be clearly documented, and the documentation should be readily available for examination."

This deficiency caused \$1.2 billion of unrealized gains on available-for-sale investment securities to be recorded as realized gains in fiscal year 2009, which resulted in an equal reclassification from retained earnings to accumulated other comprehensive income on the Statement of Financial Position; and other less significant consequential changes in 2010.

We noted this deficiency was caused by the following:

#### 1 Technical Training of Accounting Personnel

These errors stemmed in part from an incomplete understanding of the prescriptive US generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) treatment for this class of transactions. As part of the normal course of business for ESF, they may be expected to report on certain transactions that are of a more complex nature. For areas of complexity, there remain gaps in specialized technical accounting knowledge and experience. In the current year, ESF hired a new resource and several members of Departmental Offices staff attended US GAAP training, which has had a positive impact on ESF's day to day accounting and financial reporting.

#### 2 Data Obtained by ESF from their Fiscal Agent

The errors noted above were also caused by ESF receiving data from their fiscal agent at an aggregated level related to this class of transactions. While the nature of the fiscal agent's reporting may be satisfactory to enable broad policy-related decisions as well as frequent trading management decisions, it may not provide sufficient detail of transactional-level activity that may impact accounting and reporting matters.



#### **RECOMMENDATIONS:**

We recommend that ESF:

- 1. Continue to develop competencies in the specialized areas of US GAAP relevant to financial instruments through increased training and the addition of resources with experience in such technical accounting areas.
- 2. Utilize, when available, resources available within Treasury and externally (i.e., external consultants) to review matters related to unusual or complex transactions on a "real time" basis.
- 3. Periodically (at least annually) evaluate the nature of transactional-level reporting provided by their fiscal agent to ensure the appropriate level of detail is produced and available for ESF accountants.

The ESF's response to our finding is presented in Exhibit I. We did not audit management's response and, accordingly, we express no opinion on it.

\*\*\*\*\*\*

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the addressee, ESF's management, OMB, the U.S. Government Accountability Office, and the U.S. Congress and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.



December 2, 2010

#### Exhibit 1 – Management's Response

#### SIGNIFICANT DEFICIENCY

ESF concurs with the recommendations and will continue to provide training in the specialized areas of US GAAP relevant to financial instruments to ensure its staff can appropriately account for new and emerging issues. Furthermore, to the extent possible, ESF will leverage other resources within Treasury to review matters related to unusual or complex transactions, utilizing consultants to the extent practical and appropriate. ESF is currently working with the Federal Reserve Bank of New York, its fiscal agent, to address reporting issues. Until these issues are resolved, ESF will manually analyze the valuation gain or loss on each instrument to ensure it reflects proper presentation prescribed by the Financial Accounting Standards Board.



#### KPMG LLP Washington, DC

#### **Independent Auditors' Report on Compliance and Other Matters**

Inspector General U.S. Department of the Treasury:

We have audited the statements of the financial position of the U.S. Department of the Treasury's Exchange Stabilization Fund ("ESF") as of September 30, 2010 and 2009, and the related statements of income and comprehensive income and retained earnings, and cash flows (hereinafter referred to as "financial statements") for the years then ended, and have issued our report thereon dated December 2, 2010.

We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Bulletin No. 07-04, *Audit Requirements for Federal Financial Statements*, as amended. Those standards and OMB Bulletin No. 07-04 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement.

The management of the ESF is responsible for complying with laws, regulations, and contracts applicable to the ESF. As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the ESF's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of the ESF's compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, and contracts, and noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of the financial statement amounts. We limited our tests of compliance to the provisions described in the preceding sentence, and we did not test compliance with all laws, regulations, and contracts applicable to the ESF. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion.

The results of our tests of compliance described in the preceding paragraph of this report, disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported herein under Government Auditing Standards and OMB Bulletin No. 07-04.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the addressee, ESF's management, OMB, the U.S. Government Accountability Office, and the U.S. Congress and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.



December 2, 2010

# DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY EXCHANGE STABILIZATION FUND STATEMENTS OF FINANCIAL POSITION

(In Thousands)

As of September 30		2010	2009
Assets			
Cash and Cash Equivalents (Note 2)	\$	28,520,996 \$	28,484,464
Securities Purchased Under Agreement to Resell (Note 3)		2,503,143	2,642,566
Government Sponsored Enterprise Securities (Notes 5 and 8)		=	1,100,000
Investment Securities and Other Foreign Currency Denominated Assets (Note 5)		15,353,238	14,688,762
Special Drawing Right Holdings (Note 4)		57,410,474	57,945,186
Interest Receivable		113,597	137,987
Interest Receivable on Special Drawing Right Holdings	_	28,815	15,796
Total Assets	\$ _	103,930,263 \$	105,014,761
Liabilities and Equity			
Liabilities:			
Certificates Issued to Federal Reserve Banks (Note 7)	\$	5,200,000 \$	5,200,000
Special Drawing Right Allocations (Note 4)		54,957,909	55,953,105
Interest Payable on Special Drawing Right Allocations		27,585	14,916
Other		182	228
Total Liabilities	_	60,185,676	61,168,249
Commitments and Contingencies (Note 10)			
Equity:			
Appropriated Capital		200,000	200,000
Retained Earnings (Note 1)		42,371,994	42,212,542
Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Notes 1 and 6)		1,172,593	1,433,970
Total Equity	_	43,744,587	43,846,512
Total Liabilities and Equity	\$_	103,930,263 \$	105,014,761

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

# DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY EXCHANGE STABILIZATION FUND STATEMENTS OF INCOME AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME AND RETAINED EARNINGS

(In Thousands)

For the years-ended September 30	2010	2009
Interest Income		
Interest on Cash and Cash Equivalents \$	32,390 \$	78,818
Interest on Securities Purchased Under Agreement to Resell	7,320	48,264
Interest on Government Sponsored Enterprise Securities	150	6,571
Interest on Investment Securities and Other Foreign Currency Denominated Assets	210,450	252,359
Interest on Special Drawing Right Holdings	147,140	75,654
Total Interest Income	397,450	461,666
Interest Expense		
Interest on Special Drawing Right Allocations	(141,003)	(63,265)
Interest on Special Drawing Right -	(-1-,)	(==,===)
Remuneration due to the U.S. Treasury	(3)	(4)
Total Interest Expense	(141,006)	(63,269)
Net Interest Income	256,444	398,397
- The metest medic	230,444	370,371
Net Gains/(Losses)		
Gain/(Loss) on Foreign Currency Valuation of:		
Special Drawing Rights Holdings	(1,039,862)	1,072,409
Special Drawing Rights Allocations	994,821	(1,038,686)
Investment Securities and Other Foreign Currency Denominated Assets, net (Notes 1 and 5)	121,262	1,151,272
Securities Purchased Under Agreement to Resell	(172,821)	(107,978)
Total Net Gains/(Losses)	(96,600)	1,077,017
Other Income		
Insurance Premiums (Note 8)	_	1,155,033
insurance Ferniums (Note 8)		1,133,033
Other Expenses		
International Monetary Fund Annual Assessment	(392)	(536)
Net Income	159,452	2,629,911
		, ,-
Other Comprehensive Income		
Unrealized Holding Gain/(Loss) (Notes 1 and 6)	(261,377)	1,398,496
Comprehensive Income/(Loss) \$	(101,925) \$	4,028,407
Ψ =	(101,22) Ψ	.,020,107
Retained Earnings, Beginning of Year \$	42,212,542 \$	39,582,631
Net Income	159,452	2,629,911
Potoined Formings End of Voor	42 271 004 ¢	42 212 542
Retained Earnings, End of Year \$	42,371,994 \$	42,212,542

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

# DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY EXCHANGE STABILIZATION FUND STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(In Thousands)

For the years-ended September 30		2010	2009
Cash Flows from Operating Activities:			
Interest Received on:			
Cash and Cash Equivalents	\$	32,513 \$	86,140
Securities Purchased Under Agreement to Resell		7,318	49,413
Investment Securities and Other Foreign Currency Denominated Assets		236,031	269,192
Commissions Received on Credit Facility			
Government Sponsored Enterprises		150	5,880
Insurance Premiums		-	1,160,386
Other		(1,706)	(11,059)
Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities		274,306	1,559,952
Cash Flows from Investing Activities:			
Purchases of Government Sponsored Enterprises Securities		-	(3,629,795)
Maturities of Government Sponsored Enterprises Securities		1,100,000	2,529,795
Net Purchases/Maturities of Securities Purchased Under Agreement to Resell		(33,398)	900,120
Net Purchases/Maturities of Investment Securities and Foreign Currency Denominated	d Asset	(621,920)	(981,397)
Reimbursement for Remuneration Received		(23,470)	(39,657)
Purchase of Special Drawing Rights		(476,707)	(111,128)
Other		391	549
Net Cash Used in Investing Activities		(55,104)	(1,331,513)
Cash Flows from Financing Activities:			
Certificates Issued to Federal Reserve Banks		-	3,000,000
Net Cash Provided by Financing Activities		-	3,000,000
Effect of Exchange Rate on Cash		(182,670)	994,121
Net Increase in Cash and Cash Equivalents		36,532	4,222,560
Cash and Cash Equivalents,			
Beginning of Year		28,484,464	24,261,904
Cash and Cash Equivalents,			
End of Year	\$	28,520,996 \$	28,484,464
Reconciliation of Net Income to Net Cash Provided by			
Operating Activities			
Net Income	\$	159,452 \$	2,629,911
Adjustments to Reconcile Net Income to Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities:			
Net Exchange Rate Gain/(Loss) on FCDAs and Investment Securities		51,559	(1,043,294)
(Decrease) Increase in Special Drawing Right Holdings Due to Valuation		1,040,255	(1,074,685)
Net Increase in Special Drawing Rights Holdings		(5,803)	(19,779)
Decrease in Accrued Interest Receivable		11,371	44,221
Decrease in Insurance Premium Receivable		-	298,147
(Decrease)/Increase in Special Drawing Right Allocations Due to Valuation		(995,196)	1,040,515
(Decrease)/Increase in Accrued Interest Payable and Other		12,668	(22,284)
Decrease in Deferred Insurance Premium Revenue		-	(292,800)
Total Adjustments		114,854	(1,069,959)
Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities	\$	274,306 \$	
Significant Non-Cash Transactions			
Allocation of Special Drawing Rights by IMF	\$	- \$	47,597,925
6 6 6 7 7	· —		,,.

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

#### NOTE 1 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

#### A. Entity

The Exchange Stablization Fund (ESF) was originally established pursuant to section 10 of the Gold Reserve Act of 1934 for the purpose of stabilizing the exchange value of the dollar. Section 10 has been amended several times since 1934. This section currently authorizes the Secretary, with the approval of the President, to deal in gold, foreign exchange, and instruments of credit and securities consistent with US obligations in the International Monetary Fund on orderly exchange arrangements and a stable system of exchange rates. 31 U.S.C. 5302(b).

The Federal Reserve Bank of New York (FRBNY) acts as the fiscal agent for the ESF, as permitted by the Federal Reserve Act. As the fiscal agent, the FRBNY plays a significant role in the processing of foreign currency transactions that the Secretary of the Treasury authorizes. The manager of the ESF foreign currency portfolio at the FRBNY consults regularly with the Federal Open Market Committee and the U.S. Department of the Treasury (Treasury) about the disposition of investments and the status of the portfolio. The level and currency composition of the ESF foreign currency portfolio are the products of Treasury policy determinations. The ESF management is responsible for the record keeping and investment decisions for foreign currency transaction activity carried out by the FRBNY.

By law, the ESF is not available to pay administrative expenses. Instead the Treasury's Office of International Affairs has responsibility for managing ESF operations, and Treasury's Office of Financial Management provides the recordkeeping and financial reporting services for the ESF. These Treasury offices bear all administrative expenses of the ESF. Accordingly, there are no administrative expenses reported in the financial statements.

#### **B.** Basis of Accounting and Presentation

The ESF has historically prepared its financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, based on accounting standards issued by the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB), the private-sector standards-setting body.

#### C. Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, and disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Significant estimates are used in the preparation of amounts related to the valuation of investments and contingent liabilities. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

#### D. Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash equivalents are short-term, highly liquid investments that are both readily convertible to known amounts of cash, and so near their maturity that they present insignificant risk of change in value due to changes in interest rates. Cash and Cash Equivalents consist of the following:

- U.S. Government Securities
- Short-term Foreign Currency Denominated Assets (FCDAs) including deposits and securities denominated in both euro and yen

#### NOTE 1—SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

#### E. Investments

Held-to-maturity securities are those securities in which the entity has the ability and intent to hold the security until maturity. Held-to-maturity securities are recorded at amortized cost, adjusted for the amortization and accretion of premiums or discounts. The Government-Sponsored Enterprise (GSE) securities, purchased by the ESF pursuant to the money market program discussed in Notes 5 and 9, are classified as "held-to-maturity".

Trading securities are bought and held principally for the purpose of selling them in the near term. ESF has no securities classified as trading.

Available-for-sale securities are those which are neither trading nor held-to-maturity. ESF's Other FCDAs and Investment Securities are classified as available-for-sale. Available-for-sale securities are recorded at fair value. Unrealized holding gains and losses on available-for-sale securities are excluded from earnings and are reported as a separate component of accumulated other comprehensive income until realized. Realized gains and losses from the sale of available-for-sale securities are determined on a specific-identification basis.

Interest on investments, amortization of premiums, and accretion of discounts are reported in Interest Income and are recognized on an accrual basis. Premiums and discounts are amortized or accreted over the life of the related investment security as an adjustment to yield using the effective—interest method.

Foreign currency assets (FCA) include interest-bearing foreign deposit accounts and investments in foreign government securities.

FCAs also include FCDAs –reported as Cash and Cash Equivalents, Other FCDAs, and Investment Securities. These categorizations are based on maturity. FCDAs have terms of 3 months or less. Other FCDAs have terms of less than or equal to a year but greater than 3 months and Investment Securities have terms greater than a year.

#### F. Securities Purchased Under Agreement to Resell

Securities Purchased Under Agreement to Resell, have agreement terms that do not exceed 90 days, and these are generally treated as collateralized financial transactions and are carried at amounts at which the securities were acquired, adjusted for translation gains/losses if such agreements pertained to FCAs.

#### G. Foreign Currency Valuations

In accordance with *Foreign Currency Matters* (FASB ASC 830), FCAs as well as Special Drawing Rights and related accrued interest receivable or payable, discussed below, are revalued to reflect current exchange rates in effect as of the reporting date. Such gains or losses, recognized in the period of the fluctuations, are reported on the Statements of Income and Comprehensive Income and Retained Earnings as Gains (Losses) on Foreign Currency Valuation. Gains and losses related to foreign currency valuations of Investment Securities and Other FCDAs are excluded from earnings and are reported as a component of other comprehensive income until realized.

#### NOTE 1 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

#### H. Fair Values of Financial Instruments

Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures (FASB ASC 820-10) establishes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value. The hierarchy gives the highest priority to unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (Level 1 measurements) and lowest priority to measurements involving significant unobservable inputs (Level 3 measurements). The three levels of the fair value hierarchy are as follows:

- Level 1 inputs are quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the ESF has the ability to access at the measurement date.
- Level 2 inputs are inputs other than quoted prices included within Level 1 that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly.
- Level 3 inputs are unobservable inputs for the asset or liability.

All of the ESF's investments except for the GSE Securities and Securities Purchased under Agreement to Resell are Level 1 measurements since these financial assets are traded in active markets where quotable values are readily available. GSE Securities and Securities Purchased under Agreement to Resell are considered Level 2 as they are not traded on active exchanges; however, there are similar securities that can be used to estimate fair value.

#### I. Other-than-temporary-Impairment

A decline in the market value of any investment below cost that is deemed to be other-than-temporary is accounted for as an impairment and the carrying value is reduced to fair value for financial statement reporting purposes. The impairment is charged to earnings and the charge establishes a new cost basis for the investment. To determine whether an impairment is other-than-temporary, the ESF considers whether it has the ability and intent to hold the investment until a market price recovery and considers whether evidence indicating the cost of the investment is recoverable outweighs evidence to the contrary. Evidence considered in this assessment includes the reasons for the impairment, the severity and duration of the impairment, changes in value subsequent to year-end, forecasted performance of the investee and the general market condition in the geographic area or industry the investee operates in. ESF regularly evaluates whether unrealized losses on investment securities indicate other-than-temporary impairment that would require recognition in the financial statements.

#### J. Certificates Issued to Federal Reserve Banks

Certificates issued to Federal Reserve Banks (FRB) are reflected on the Statements of Financial Position at their face value. Under the terms of the agreement, there is no set repayment date and no interest accrued while certificates remain outstanding. As a result, the carrying amount represents the face value.

#### NOTE 1 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

#### K. U.S. Government Securities

The ESF invests dollars in excess of its immediate needs in overnight, nonmarketable U.S. government securities issued by the Treasury. The interest rate earned on the investments is equal to the overnight repurchase agreement rate as established by the Treasury's Bureau of Public Debt.

#### L. Other Comprehensive Income

Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) is made up only of changes in the fair value of investments classified as available-for-sale. Unrealized gains and losses, if any, would subsequently be reclassified into income in the same period the underlying investment is either sold or transferred to the Trading classification.

#### M. Tax-Exempt Status

As a component of the Treasury, which is a federal agency, the ESF is not subject to federal, state, or local income taxes, and accordingly, no provision for income taxes is recorded.

#### N. Reclassifications

Certain reclassifications have been made to prior year amounts to conform to the current year presentation. Other Foreign Currency Denominated assets have been combined with Investment Securities for reporting purposes.

#### O. Immaterial Correction of Error in Previously Issued Financial Statements

The ESF's previously issued 2009 financial statements have been revised to correct an immaterial error. In 2009, ESF incorrectly recorded \$1.2 billion of unrealized gains on available-for-sale investment securities as realized gains, which are reported on the line item "Net Gains (Losses) – Investment Securities and Other Foreign Currency Denominated Assets, net". These unrealized gains should have been included on the line item "Other Comprehensive income – unrealized gains". The 2009 income statement presented herein has been revised to report "Net Gains (Losses) – Investment Securities and Other Foreign Currency Denominated Assets, net" of \$1.2 billion and "Other Comprehensive income – unrealized gains" of \$1.4 billion. As a result, net income for the year ended September 30, 2009, has been reduced by \$1.2 billion from \$3.8 billion to \$2.6 billion, but total comprehensive income of \$4.0 billion as originally reported was not affected by this error. ESF also corrected its balance sheet for the above error by reclassifying \$1.2 billion from retained earnings to accumulated other comprehensive income. However, investment securities, total assets and total equity, as originally reported as of September 30, 2009, were not affected by this error. Management of the ESF believes this adjustment is not material to the previously issued financial statements taken as a whole.

#### NOTE2-CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS

Cash and cash equivalent amounts held as of September 30, 2010 and 2009 is as follows:

September 30 (In Thousands)	2010	2009	
Cash and cash equivalents: U. S. government securities	\$ 20,436,050	\$ 19,816,090	
Short-term FCDAs:			
European euro	4,264,817	5,129,873	
Japanese yen	3,820,129	3,538,501	
Total short-term FCDAs	8,084,946	8,668,374	
Total cash and cash equivalents	\$ 28,520,996	\$ 28,484,464	

#### NOTE 3-SECURITIES PURCHASED UNDER AGREEMENT TO RESELL

The FRBNY enters into transactions to purchase foreign-currency-denominated government-debt securities under agreements to resell for which the accepted collateral is the debt instruments, denominated in Euro, and issued or guaranteed in full by Belgium, France, Germany, Italy, the Netherlands and Spain. Maturities of the underlying Securities do not exceed 10.5 years. The duration of individual repurchase transactions do not exceed 90 days. ESF's investments in securities purchased under agreement to resell involve a pledge of securities account with Euroclear, the custodian/tri-party agent for such operations, to facilitate intra-day clearance of transactions. Accrued interest related to these securities is reported as Interest Receivable in the Statements of Financial Position. These agreements are subject to daily margining requirements.

#### NOTE4-SPECIAL DRAWING RIGHTS

The SDR is an international reserve asset created by the International Monetary Fund (IMF) in 1969 to supplement the existing reserve assets of IMF member countries, including the United States. In addition to its role as a supplementary reserve asset, the SDR serves as a means of payment within the IMF, as well as the unit of account for the IMF and several other international organizations. SDRs may be held only by the official sector – IMF countries and certain institutions designated by the IMF as prescribed holders. On several occasions SDRs have been allocated by the IMF to members participating in the IMF's SDR Department, including the United States. SDR transactions by the United States require the explicit authorization of the Secretary of the Treasury.

The SDR's value is based on a weighted average of a basket of key international reserve currencies issued by IMF members (or monetary unions that include IMF members) which have been determined by the IMF to be freely usable currencies. These currencies are the U.S. dollar, the Euro, the Japanese yen, and the pound sterling. The SDR carries a variable interest rate, calculated weekly as a weighted average of short-term interest rates of the SDR basket of currencies. The ESF's SDR Allocations and Holdings (see below) are revalued monthly, based on the SDR valuation rate calculated by the IMF, and unrealized gains or losses on revaluation are recognized. SDR Holdings and SDR Allocations are reported as an asset and liability respectively in the financial statements of the ESF.

#### NOTE 4 – SPECIAL DRAWING RIGHTS (Continued)

#### SDR Allocations

SDRs, once allocated to the United States, are permanent resources unless:

- a. They are canceled by an 85 percent majority decision of the total voting power of the Board of Governors of the IMF;
- b. The SDR Department of the IMF is liquidated;
- c. The IMF is liquidated; or
- d. The United States chooses to withdraw from the IMF or terminate its participation in the SDR Department.

Due to the fact that the SDRs are able to be withdrawn, the ESF carries a liability related to such allocations.

Except for the payment of interest and charges on SDR allocations to the United States, the payment of the ESF's liability related to the SDR allocations is conditional on events listed above, in which the United States has a controlling voice. Allocations of SDRs were made during 1970, 1971, 1972, 1979, 1980, 1981, and 2009.

On August 28, 2009, the ESF received an allocation of 27.5 billion SDRs, or \$42.8 billion worth of SDRs, as the U.S. share of a general allocation from the IMF. On September 9, 2009, ESF received 2.9 billion SDRs or \$4.5 billion worth of SDRs as the U.S. share of a special allocation from the IMF.

As of September 30, 2010 and 2009, the value of SDR allocations to the United States was the equivalent of \$54.9 billion and \$55.9 billion, respectively.

#### **SDR Holdings**

Pursuant to the Special Drawing Rights Act of 1968, as amended, SDRs allocated by the IMF to, or otherwise acquired by the United States are also resources (holdings) of the ESF. SDR Holdings represent transactions resulting from these SDR activities, primarily the result of IMF allocations. Other transactions reported in this account are recorded as they are incurred and include any SDR acquisitions and sales, interest received on SDR Holdings, interest charges on SDR Allocations, and other SDR related activities, as well as valuation adjustments.

In fiscal year 2009, Treasury entered into a voluntary arrangement with the IMF allowing Treasury to purchase up to approximately 16 billion SDRs. On September 23, 2009 70 million SDRs were purchased from Guyana and on November 6, 2009 300 million SDRs were purchased from Ukraine.

#### NOTE 4 – SPECIAL DRAWING RIGHTS (Continued)

#### Other SDR Activities

The U.S. Government receives remuneration in SDRs from the IMF on the U.S. claim on the IMF, represented by the U.S. Reserve Position. ESF is the only agency within Treasury permitted to transact in SDRs. SDRs received become the resources of ESF, as required by law, and ESF pays the dollar equivalent to the U.S. Government's Treasury General Account (TGA). The ESF's receipt of the SDRs and payment of the dollar equivalent to the TGA are not simultaneous. Typically, the payment is several weeks after the receipt of SDRs from the IMF. Therefore, the ESF must reimburse the TGA the interest it earned on the dollar equivalent of the SDRs held on behalf of the TGA during the period which elapsed between the receipt of the SDRs and the dollar payment to the TGA.

ESF paid to the TGA \$2,900 and \$4,000 in fiscal years 2010 and 2009, respectively, in interest due on the transferred dollars. The ESF did not sell SDRs to any participating members during fiscal year 2010 or 2009.

The following schedule reflects the activity related to SDR Holdings during fiscal years 2010 and 2009 in SDR and dollar equivalent.

September 30 (SDR In Thousands)	2010	2009
Beginning balance	36,573,014	6,047,663
Interest credits on holdings	87,324	69,187
Interest charges on allocations	(83,558)	(55,965)
Remuneration	15,195	26,345
IMF annual assessment	(288)	(366)
General/Special Allocations	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	30,416,150
Purchases	300,000	70,000
Total SDR - Holdings	36,891,687	36,573,014
September 30 (Dollar Equivalent In Thousands)	2010	2009
Beginning balance	\$ 57,945,186	\$ 9,417,541
Interest credits on holdings	134,513	103,495
Interest charges on allocations	(128,710)	(83,716)
Remuneration	23,470	39,656
IMF annual assessment	(437)	(547)
General/Special Allocations	-	47,282,944
Purchases	476,707	111,128
Net gain/(loss) on valuation of holdings	(1,040,255)	1,074,685
Total Dollar Equivalent – Holdings	\$ 57,410,474	\$ 57,945,186

#### NOTE 4—SPECIAL DRAWING RIGHTS (Continued)

The table above reflects the actual dollar equivalent amount of SDRs received or transferred by ESF. Amounts within the financial statements computed on accrual basis will thus differ since actual SDR movements occur shortly after the balance sheet date.

#### NOTE 5-INVESTMENT SECURITIES AND OTHER FOREIGN CURRENCY DENOMINATED ASSETS

The amortized cost, gross unrealized holding gains and losses, and fair value of available-for-sale and held-to-maturity debt securities by major security type and class of security at September 30, 2010 and 2009 were as follows:

September 30, 2010 (In Thousands)	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Holding Gains	Gross Unrealized Holding (Losses)	Fair Value
Available for sale: Other FCDAs German Bonds French Bonds French Notes Japanese Bonds Total	\$ 2,679,777 1,870,922 1,180,688 1,590,177 6,859,081 \$ 14,180,645	\$ 167,965 34,242 46,889 45,874 914,548 \$ 1,209,518	\$ - (23,791) (5,607) (7,527) - (36,925)	\$ 2,847,742 1,881,373 1,221,970 1,628,524 7,773,629 \$15,353,238
September 30, 2009 (In Thousands)	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Holding Gains	Gross Unrealized Holding (Losses)	Fair Value
Available for sale: Other FCDAs German Bonds French Bonds French Notes Japanese Bonds	\$ 2,269,669 2,007,083 1,100,210 1,536,525 6,341,305	\$ 114,327 167,431 109,548 141,855 903,419	\$ (2,610) - - - -	\$ 2,381,386 2,174,514 1,209,758 1,678,380 7,244,724
Total  Held to maturity: GSE Securities	\$ 13,254,792 \$ 1,100,000	\$ 1,436,580 \$ 141	\$ (2,610)	\$ 14,688,762 \$ 1,100,141

Other FCDAs represent Euro denominated instruments issued by the Bank of International Settlements, and typically mature within one year.

## NOTE 5—INVESTMENT SECURITIES AND OTHER FOREIGN CURRENCY DENOMINATED ASSETS (Continued)

During fiscal year 2009, ESF transferred Other FCDAs and Investment Securities to the available-for-sale classification. This was based on re-assessment by management concerning its intent and ability to hold such securities to maturity. All unrealized holding gains and losses related to held-to-maturity securities transferred to available-for-sale are recorded in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income.

On November 19, 2008, pursuant to Treasury's temporary money market program discussed in Note 8, the Treasury entered into a transaction with the Reserve Fund's U.S. Government Fund (USGF), under which the Treasury (i) executed the Guarantee Agreement which accepted the USGF into the Treasury Guarantee Program (Note 8) and (ii) signed a Letter Agreement with the USGF. The Letter Agreement addresses matters such as the sale of portfolio of securities, ESF's purchase of remaining securities, liquidation and other matters arising out of the USGF's decision to suspend the redemption of the USGF's shares and to liquidate the USGF. On January 15, 2009, based on the terms of the Letter Agreement, the ESF purchased approximately \$3.6 billion of GSE debt securities; which was the purchase price representing the amortized cost of the remaining securities, plus accrued but unpaid interest. By November 2009, all such GSE securities had fully matured.

Maturities of debt securities classified as available for sale were as follows at September 30, 2010:

September 30, 2010 (In Thousands)	Amortized Cost		Fair Value	
Available-for-sale:				
Due within one year	\$	6,540,433	\$	7,185,263
Due after one year but before five years		7,640,212		8,167,975
Total	\$	14,180,645	\$	15,353,238

#### Impairment Assessment

The ESF evaluates whether unrealized losses on investment securities indicate other-than-temporary impairment. Based on this evaluation, ESF recognized no other-than-temporary impairment losses on any securities in fiscal years 2010 and 2009.

#### Fair Value

The fair value of securities available for sale are measured using the hierarchy or lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement of the investment in its entirety. The following table presents assets that are measured at fair value on a recurring basis at September 30, 2010 and 2009:

## $NOTE 5-INVESTMENT SECURITIES AND OTHER FOREIGN CURRENCY DENOMINATED ASSETS \\ (Continued)$

		Fair Value Measu	rements at Repor	rting Date Using	
September 30, 2010 (In Thousands)	Fair Value At 9/30/2010	Quoted Prices In Active Markets For Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	
Available for sale: Other FCDAs German Bonds French Bonds French Notes Japanese Bonds Total	\$ 2,847,742 1,881,373 1,221,970 1,628,524 7,773,629 \$15,353,238	\$ 2,847,742 1,881,373 1,221,970 1,628,524 7,773,629 \$ 15,353,238	\$ - - - - -	\$ - - - - -	
September 30, 2009 (In Thousands)	Fair Value At 9/30/2009	Fair Value Measu Quoted Prices In Active Markets For Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	
Available for sale: Other FCDAs German Bonds	\$ 2,381,386	\$ 2,381,386 2,174,514	\$ -	\$ -	

#### NOTE 6 – ACCUMULATED OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

The accumulated balances for other comprehensive income are as follows:

September 30, 2010 (In Thousands)	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income			
Balance at September 30, 2009			\$	1,433,970
Unrealized holding gains/(losses) arising during the period	\$	42,555		
Less: reclassification of gains recognized as net income		(303,932)		
Other comprehensive loss				(261,377)
Balance at September 30, 2010			\$	1,172,593
September 30, 2009 (In Thousands)		Accumula Compreher		
Balance at September 30, 2008			\$	-
Unrealized holding gains on transferred securities at the date of transfer				35,474
Unrealized holding gains/(losses) arising during the period	\$	1,555,647		
Less: reclassification for gains recognized as net income		(157,151)		
Other comprehensive income		(137,131)		1,398,496
Balance at September 30, 2009			\$	1,433,970

#### NOTE 7 – CERTIFICATES ISSUED TO FEDERAL RESERVE BANKS

The Special Drawing Right Act of 1968 authorized the Secretary of the Treasury to issue Special Drawing Right certificates (SDRCs), not to exceed the value of SDR holdings, to the Federal Reserve System in return for interest-free dollar amounts equal to the face value of certificates issued (SDR monetization). The certificates may be issued for the purpose of financing the acquisition of SDRs from other countries or to provide resources for financing other operations of the ESF. Certificates issued have no set maturity and are to be redeemed by the ESF at such times and in such amounts as the Secretary of the Treasury may determine (SDR demonetization). During FY 2009, Treasury issued \$3.0 billion of SDRCs to the Federal Reserve. As of September 30, 2010 and 2009, the amount of certificates issued to Federal Reserve Banks was \$5.2 billion.

#### NOTE 8 – TEMPORARY GUARANTEE PROGRAM FOR MONEY MARKET FUNDS

The Department established a Temporary Guarantee Program for Money Market Funds (Treasury Guarantee Program) in September 2008 that was managed under the purview of the Treasury's Office of Financial Institutions Policy. Under the Treasury Guarantee Program, the Treasury guaranteed to individual investors that they would receive the stable share price (SSP) for each share held in a participating money market fund up to the number of shares held as of the close of business as of September 19, 2008. Use of ESF's assets to support the Treasury Guarantee Program was approved by the President and the Secretary of the Treasury on September 19, 2008 and opened for participation on September 29, 2008.

To be eligible funds were required to be regulated under Rule 2a-7 of the Investment Company Act of 1940, maintain a SSP, have a market-based net asset value (NAV) of at least 99.5 percent of the SSP as of September 19, 2008, and be publicly offered and registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

To have participated in the Treasury Guarantee Program, eligible money market funds must have submitted an application and paid a premium of 1 basis point if the fund's NAV was greater than or equal to 99.75 percent of the SSP, or 1.5 basis points of the SSP if the fund's NAV was less than 99.75 percent of the SSP but greater than or equal to 99.50 percent of the SSP. The program expired on September 18, 2009.

During the year-ended September 30, 2009, the ESF collected \$1.2 billion in program participation payments. These receipts represent the collection, less any returns, for the twelve months of coverage which began September 19, 2008. Of the total \$1.2 billion collected through the Treasury Guarantee Program \$1.155 billion was recognized as earned revenue as of September 30, 2009.

#### NOTE 9 - FAIR VALUES OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

Financial Instruments (FASB ASC 825-10) requires all entities to disclose the fair value of financial instruments, where feasible, in an effort to provide financial statement users with information in making rational investment and credit decisions.

To estimate the fair value of each class of financial instrument, the ESF applied the following methods using the indicated assumptions:

#### Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash and Cash Equivalents consist of U.S. government securities and FCDAs, and are reported in the Statements of Financial Position at amounts that approximate their fair values.

#### Securities Purchased Under Agreement to Resell

The fair value is based upon quoted market interest rates for similar securities.

#### Certificates Issued to Federal Reserve Banks

The fair value of these certificates is based on the face value of the certificate as they are not subject to market or interest rate risk nor are they subject to fluctuations in exchange rates.

#### **NOTE 9 - FAIR VALUES OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS (Continued)**

#### Special Drawing Right (SDR) Holdings and SDR Allocations

The fair values are based on quoted prices published weekly by the IMF.

#### Investment Securities, GSE Securities, and Other FCDAs

The fair value of Investment Securities and Other FCDAs are based upon quoted market and current exchange rates.

The estimated fair values of the ESF's financial instruments at September 30 are as follows:

	2010		200	2009	
September 30, 2010 (In Thousands)	Carrying amount	Fair value	Carrying amount	Fair value	
Assets:					
Cash & Cash Equivalents	\$ 28,520,996	\$28,520,996	\$ 28,484,464	\$28,484,464	
Securities Purchased -					
Under Agreement to					
Resell	2,503,143	2,503,143	2,642,566	2,642,561	
GSE Securities	-	-	1,100,000	1,100,141	
Investment Securities and					
Other Foreign Currency					
Denominated Assets	15,353,238	15,353,238	14,688,762	14,688,762	
SDR Holdings	57,410,474	57,410,474	57,945,186	57,945,186	
Liabilities:					
Certificates Issued to					
Federal Reserve Banks	5,200,000	5,200,000	5,200,000	5,200,000	
SDR Allocations	54,957,909	54,957,909	55,953,105	55,953,105	

#### NOTE 10 – COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

#### A. Foreign Currency Denominated Agreements

Foreign currency denominated agreements that exist between the Treasury and foreign entities or governments provide for drawings of dollars by those entities or governments and drawings of foreign currencies by the Treasury. The Treasury enters into these agreements through the ESF. Any balance the ESF may hold under such agreements is held until the foreign entity or government repays the dollar counterpart of that balance. The ESF is exposed to credit risk on foreign currency denominated agreements in the event of default by counterparties to the extent of any amounts that have been recorded in the Statement of Financial Position. Market risk would occur as a result of fluctuations in currency exchange rates. Under these agreements, the ESF receives repayment of an agreed-upon amount in dollars regardless of currency fluctuations. With the exception of the Mexico agreement below in Section B, there were no foreign currency denominated agreements as of September 30, 2010 and 2009.

#### **B.** Exchange Stabilization Agreements

The ESF has an Exchange Stabilization Agreement (ESA) with Mexico. In April 1994, the Treasury signed the North American Framework Agreement, which includes the ESA with Mexico. The ESA provides for a \$3 billion standing swap line between the Bank of Mexico and the ESF.

The amounts and terms (including the assured source of repayment) of any new borrowings under the North American Framework Agreement are subject to certain requirements of the agreement that specify the transactions are exchange rate neutral for the ESF and would bear interest referenced to U.S. Treasury bills. Drawings are contingent on certain other conditions being met.

There were no drawings outstanding on the ESF swap line as of September 30, 2010 and 2009. On December 8, 2009, the Treasury renewed its participation in the agreement until December 2010.

#### **NOTE 11 – SUBSEQUENT EVENTS**

We evaluated and have had no subsequent events through December 2, 2010, the date that these financial statements were available to be issued.